UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BRIDGETTE RUFF	
,	CASE NO. <u>C 12-02781 TEH</u>
Plaintiff(s),	
v. DIVERSIFIED COLLECTION SERVICES Defendant(s).	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Counsel report that they have n following stipulation pursuant to Civil	net and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:	
appreciably more likely to meet their n ADR phone conference and may not fi ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Private Process:	y settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is eeds than any other form of ADR must participate in an le this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
	may process and provider)
referring the case to an	ion by: e (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)
Dated: 9/14/2012	/s/ G. Thomas Martin, III Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 9/17/2012	/s/ Danielle R. Teeters Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

✓ The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Judge Thelton E. Henderson

JUDGE

lton E. Henderson

Dated: 09/18/2012

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."