

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AHMIK HOPKINS MINTER, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
MARK EDWARD GALIOS,  
Defendant.

Case No. [12-cv-02905-JSC](#)

**[PROPOSED] VERDICT FORM**

1. Do you find that defendant Officer Mark Galios violated Akinlabi Minter’s Fourth Amendment constitutional right by using excessive and unreasonable force when he shot Mr. Minter?

YES \_\_\_\_\_  
NO \_\_\_\_\_

2. Do you find that defendant Officer Mark Galios violated Plaintiffs’ Fourteenth Amendment constitutional right by acting with a purpose to harm Akinlabi Minter that was unrelated to a legitimate law enforcement objective?

YES \_\_\_\_\_  
NO \_\_\_\_\_

**If your answer is YES to Question 1, please answer Questions 3 and 5. If your answer is YES to Question 2, please answer Questions 4 and 5. If you answered NO to Questions 1 and 2, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the Presiding Juror sign and date this form.**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

3. If you answered YES to Question 1, what do you find the total amount of damages suffered by Akinlabi Minter?

\$\_\_\_\_\_

4. If you answered YES to Question 2, what do you find the total amount of damages suffered by each Plaintiff?

ISAIAH MINTER \$\_\_\_\_\_

AHMIK HOPKINS MINTER \$\_\_\_\_\_

ZION HOPKINS MINTER \$\_\_\_\_\_

5. Do you find that defendant Officer Mark Galios acted either maliciously, oppressively, or in reckless disregard of Akinlabi Minter's or Plaintiffs' rights such that punitive damages are justified?

YES \_\_\_\_\_

NO \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE HAVE THE PRESIDING JUROR SIGN AND DATE THE VERDICT FORM, AND THEN INFORM THE COURT CLERK THAT YOU HAVE REACHED A VERDICT.

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_