Doc. 21

Granite Rock Company v. Teamsters Union Local No.890 et al

1	The parties contend that there is good cause for this request. Defendants have filed a motion	
2	to dismiss this action pursuant to 12(b)(6) that is scheduled to be heard on October 4, 2012. The	
3	parties make this request in the interest of judicial economy and in the interest of conserving the legal	
4	fees and costs of the parties and avoiding any unnecessary expenditures.	
5		
6	Dated: September 6, 2012	BEESON, TAYER & BODINE, APC
7		
8		By: /s/ Susan K. Garea TEAGUE P. PATERSON
9		SUSAN K. GAREA Attorneys for Defendants Teamsters Locals 890
10		& 912
11	Dated: September 6, 2012	LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.
12		
13		By: /s/ Emily E. O'Connor ALAN S. LEVINS
14		EMILY E. O'CONNOR Attorneys for Plaintiff Granite Rock Company
15		
16		
17		
18	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:	
19		
20		
21	Dated: September 6, 2012	
22		MARIA-ELENA JAMES Chief Magistrate Judge, United States District
23		Court, Northern District of California
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		2