		10 % C
1 2	ANDERIES & GOMES LLP Allan J. Gomes (SBN 225810) Anne V. Leinfelder (SBN 230272)	TO TO SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SE
3	601 Montgomery Street, Suite 888 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 217-8802 Facsimile: (415) 217-8803	
5	agomes@andgolaw.com aleinfelder@andgolaw.com	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff E-filing	
7	BRIDGE PARTNERS, a California Corporation	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CV 12 3048 WHA	
10		12 3048 WHA
11	BRIDGE PARTNERS, a California corporation;	Case No.
12	Plaintiff,	_ [PROPOSED] O RDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S <i>EX PARTE</i>
13	VS.	APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER; AND ORDER
14	CITIBANK, N.A., a national banking association; JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,	TO SHOW CAUSE FIXING TIME FOR HEARING ON MOTION FOR
15	a national banking association; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
16	Defendants.	[Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(b); L.R. 7-10 and 65-1]
17		
18		
19		
20	This matter comes before the Court on the ex parte application of Plaintiff Bridge	
21	Partners. Upon a review of the pleadings, moving papers, declarations, and evidence presented	
22	by Bridge Partners, the Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:	
23	A. Bridge Partners holds two bank accounts at River City Bank, from which	
24	\$2,802,734.00 was transferred to various accounts at Citibank, N.A., and JPMorgan Chase	
25	Bank, N.A., between June 4, 2012 and June 7, 2012.	
26	B. Bridge Partners did not make or authorize the transfers of these funds.	
27	C. Citibank, N.A., and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., have placed a temporary hold	
28	on the funds pursuant to California Financial Code Section 1450.	
1	in the second se	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING TEN	Case No

- D. Bridge Partners has a very strong probability of proving at trial that Doe Defendants fraudulently transferred \$2,802,734.00 from its bank accounts at River City Bank to various bank accounts with Citibank, N.A., and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
- E. Bridge Partners will suffer immediate and irreparable injury if a temporary injunction is not issued.
 - F. The public interest favors issuance of a temporary restraining order.
- G. The harm to Bridge Partners of denying the application outweighs the harm to the legitimate interests of Defendants.
 - I. The public interest favors issuance of a temporary restraining order.
- J. Injunctive relief is the only relief that will adequately protect Bridge Partners, because the funds being held by Defendants Citibank, N.A., and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., in the accounts of the Doe Defendants would likely be transferred or otherwise made inaccessible, if Bridge Partners were to proceed on notice.

THEREFORE, it is hereby:

ORDERED that Defendants show cause before this Court at Courtroom 8, U.S. District Court, 450 Gram Gate Gram, San Francism, CA 94007, on the 19 day of June, 2012 at 8 AM, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, why a preliminary injunction, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 65, should not be issued enjoining Defendants, their agents, servants, employees, officers, and all persons in active concert and participation with them, and pending the final hearing and determination of this action, from, and in any manner, directly or indirectly, transferring, moving, withdrawing, or in any way diverting any funds from the Bank Accounts set forth above.

ORDERED that pending the hearing and determination of Bridge Partners' motion for preliminary injunction, Defendants, their agents, servants, employees, officers, and all other persons in active concert or participation with them, be and hereby are, without prior written or oral notice, temporarily restrained and enjoined from, and in any manner, directly or indirectly, transferring, moving, withdrawing, or in any way diverting any funds from the Bank Accounts set forth above.

ORDERED that service of the Summons and Complaint and of this Temporary Restraining Order, and Order To Show Cause, together with copies of the papers in support thereof, must be made on Defendants Citibank, N.A., and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., no later than June 15, 2012.

ORDERED that service of the Summons and Complaint and of this Temporary Restraining Order, and Order To Show Cause, together with copies of the papers in support thereof, must be made on the Doe Defendants no later than June 29, 2012.

ORDERED that answering papers, if any, be personally filed with this Court and personally served upon Bridge Partners' counsel, Anderies & Gomes, at 601 Montgomery Street, Suite 888, San Francisco, California 94111, on or before—June—, 2012 at 5:00 p.m. and reply papers on behalf of Plaintiff, if any, shall be filed by close of business of June—, 2012.

ORDERED that Defendants' failure to attend the show cause hearing scheduled herein shall result in the immediate issuance of the preliminary injunction, which shall be deemed to take effect immediately upon the expiration or dissolution of the temporary restraining order herein, and shall extend during the pendency of this suit the same injunctive relief previously granted by the temporary restraining order. Defendants are hereby notified that they shall be deemed to have actual notice of the issuance and terms of such preliminary injunction, and that any act by them in violation of any of its terms may be considered and prosecuted as contempt of this Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 14, 2012

Judge of the District Court Northern District of California