

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MONROE JONES,

Plaintiff,

v.

DOCTOR VIVAS, et al.,

Defendants.

No. C-12-3062 TEH (PR)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

---

On November 6, 2012, this civil rights action was dismissed under Civil Local Rule 3-11(b) because sixty days had passed since the Court's mail to Plaintiff had been returned as undeliverable and the Court had not received a written communication from him indicating a current address. (Dkt #7). The mail that was returned as undeliverable was an Order to Show Cause that was filed on August 9, 2012. On November 14, 2012, Plaintiff filed an "objection" to the dismissal order, asking that his case be reopened and the Order to Show cause be reinstated. (Dkt. #8). He stated that, when he filed his complaint in April 2012, he was housed in the San Francisco County Jail, but he was released on October 22, 2012 and that his new address was: Monroe Jones; General Delivery; San Francisco, California, 94142.

On November 20, 2012, the Court issued an Order Reopening

1 the Case and Re-instating the Order to Show Cause. (Dkt. #9). In  
2 an abundance of caution, the Court ordered the Clerk of the Court to  
3 send a copy of the Order Reopening the Case and the Order to Show  
4 Cause to Plaintiff's new address and to his address at the San  
5 Francisco County Jail.

6 On November 29, 2012, the mail that was sent to Plaintiff  
7 at the San Francisco County Jail was returned as undeliverable.  
8 (Dkt. #10). On December 18, 2012, Plaintiff filed a notice of  
9 change of address providing the same address he had given in his  
10 objection: Monroe Jones; General Delivery; San Francisco,  
11 California, 94142. (Dkt. #11). On December 26, 2012, the mail that  
12 had been sent to Plaintiff at his General Delivery address was  
13 returned as undeliverable. (Dkt. #12).

14 Because sixty days have passed since the Court's mail to  
15 Plaintiff was returned as undeliverable, and the Court has received  
16 no further written communication from Plaintiff indicating a current  
17 address, the case is dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Civil  
18 Local Rule 3-11(b).

19 The Clerk shall close the file.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 DATED 03/18/2013

22   
23 \_\_\_\_\_  
24 THELTON E. HENDERSON  
25 United States District Judge

26  
27 G:\PRO-SE\TEH\CR.12\Jones 12-3062-Dis 2x Ret'd Mail .wpd