1		
2		
3		
4		
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8		
9	ONEWEST BANK, FSB,	No. C 12-03299 WHA
10	Plaintiff,	
11	v.	ORDER DENYING MOTION
12	CARLOS VASQUEZ,	TO SHORTEN TIME
13	Defendant.	
14	/	
15	Plaintiff OneWest Bank, FSB, has filed an ex parte application for an order shortening	
16	time on its motion for entry of default and/or to remand. Civil Local Rule 7-10 states,	
17	an <i>ex parte</i> motion, that is a motion filed without notice to opposing party, only if a statute, Federal Rule, local rule or Standing Order authorizes the filing of an <i>ex parte</i> motion in the circumstances and the party has complied with the applicable provisions allowing the party to approach the Court on an <i>ex parte</i>	
18		
19		
20		
21	relief sought.	
22	Plaintiff does not cite to a statute, rule, or order in support of its ex parte application. Neither	
23	has plaintiff complied with Rule 6-3, which governs motions to change time when there is not	
24	stipulated request. The request to shorten time	is <b>Denied</b> .
25		
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	0.4
27		Win Alma
28	Dated: July 23, 2012.	WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE