

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6

7 LAWRENCE LEE WILLIAMS,

No. C 12-3310 SI (pr)

8 Plaintiff,

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

9 v.


10 MELINDA HAAG,
11 United States Attorney; et al.,

12 Defendants.
13

14 Mail that was sent from the court on July 31, 2013 to plaintiff at the most recent address
15 he provided was returned to the court undelivered on September 10, 2013, marked "return to
16 sender - attempted - not known - unable to forward." *See* Docket # 5. Plaintiff has not filed
17 anything with the court since the undeliverable mail was returned to the court. More than sixty
18 days have passed since the mail was first returned to the court undelivered. Plaintiff has failed
19 to comply with Local Rule 3-11(a) which requires that a party proceeding *pro se* must "promptly
20 file with the Court and serve upon all opposing parties a Notice of Change of Address specifying
21 the new address" when his address changes. Local Rule 3-11(b) allows the court to dismiss a
22 complaint without prejudice when mail directed to a *pro se* party is returned as not deliverable
23 and the *pro se* party fails to file a notice of his current address within sixty days of the return of
24 the undelivered mail. For the foregoing reasons, this action is dismissed without prejudice
25 because plaintiff failed to keep the court informed of his address in compliance with Local Rule
26 3-11(a). Defendants' motion for summary judgment is DISMISSED as moot. (Docket # 39.)

27 IT IS SO ORDERED.

28 Dated: December 5, 2013



SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge