

1 MARGARET HART EDWARDS, Bar No. 65699
ROBERT L. ZALETEL, Bar No. 96262
2 CONSTANCE E. NORTON, Bar No. 146365
LUCAS V. MUNOZ, Bar No. 254900
3 LITTLER MENDELSON
A Professional Corporation
4 650 California Street, 20th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94108-2693
5 Telephone: (415) 433-1940
Facsimile: (415) 399-8490
6 Email: mhedwards@littler.com
rzaletel@littler.com
7 cnorton@littler.com
lmunoz@littler.com
8

9 Attorneys for Defendant
BENIHANA NATIONAL CORP.

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12

13 TETSUO AKAOSUGI, HIEU NGUYEN,
on behalf of themselves and all others
14 similarly situated,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 BENIHANA NATIONAL CORP.,

18 Defendant.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CASE NO. 3:11-CV-01272 WHA and
CASE NO. CV12-03411

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
RELATE CASES

Date: TBD
Time: TBD
Judge: Hon. William H. Alsup
Ctrm.: 8, 19th Floor

1 Defendant Benihana National Corp's unopposed Administrative Motion brought
2 pursuant to Local Rule 3-12, for an Order finding *Romeo Cabe, et al. v Benihana National Corp.*,
3 Case No. CV12-03411 (removed from Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. SCV 245198)
4 ("*Cabe*") to be related to *Akaosugi, et al. v. Benihana National Corp.*, Case No. 11-CV-01272 WHA
5 ("*Akaosugi*") was considered by this Court. Based on all briefs, evidence and pleadings in both
6 actions, and for good cause appearing, the Court finds:

7 1. The *Cabe* action arises out of the same facts and circumstances and involves
8 the same parties as *Akaosugi*. See Local Rule 3-12(a)(1) (the actions concern substantially the same
9 parties, transactions and events);

10 2. Given Judge Alsup's prior investment of time in more than a year of
11 proceedings, including disputes regarding BNC's ability to take the depositions of the *Cabe*
12 declarants/plaintiffs, substantial class certification briefing and multiple hearings in *Akaosugi*, and
13 because it would be likely that there would be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and
14 expense or conflicting results if the *Cabe* action was conducted before a new and different Judge or
15 Magistrate, it is appropriate to order *Cabe* related to *Akaosugi*. See Local Rule 3-12(a)(2); and,

16 3. The Court, therefore, finds that *Romeo Cabe, et al. v Benihana National*
17 *Corp.*, Case No. CV12-03411 is related to *Akaosugi, et al. v. Benihana National Corp.*, Case No. 11-
18 CV-01272 WHA and orders *Cabe* immediately assigned to Judge Alsup for all purposes.

19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20 DATED: July 23, 2012.



21 William Alsup
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

23 Firmwide:113006222.1 062447.1005
24
25
26
27
28