

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

DOE,
Plaintiff,
v.
CHARLES CARREON,
Defendant.

Case No. 12-cv-03435 NC

ORDER TO FILE MOTION TO PROCEED ANONYMOUSLY

Plaintiff filed a complaint for declaratory relief under the pseudonym “Doe.” Compl., Dkt. No. 1. In the complaint, plaintiff seeks leave to proceed anonymously “to protect her First Amendment right to speak anonymously,” but she provides no additional information or authority in support of her request. *Id.* ¶ 5.

Absent special circumstances, a party may not proceed anonymously because the “use of fictitious names runs afoul of the public’s common law right of access to judicial proceedings . . . and Rule 10(a)’s command that the title of every complaint include the names of all the parties.” *Does I thru XXIII v. Advanced Textile Corp.*, 214 F.3d 1058, 1067 (9th Cir. 2000) (citations and internal quotation marks omitted). “[A] party may preserve his or her anonymity in judicial proceedings in special circumstances when the party’s need for anonymity outweighs prejudice to

1 the opposing party and the public's interest in knowing the party's identity." *Id.* at 1068.

2 Accordingly, by August 2, 2012, plaintiff must file a motion to proceed under a
3 pseudonym that shows why the plaintiff's need to proceed anonymously outweighs the prejudice
4 to defendant Carreon and the public's interest in knowing plaintiff's identity. Carreon may file an
5 opposition by August 16, 2012. Plaintiff may file a reply by August 23, 2012. After briefing is
6 completed, the court may schedule a hearing on the motion if such a hearing is necessary.

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 Date: July 19, 2012



Nathanael M. Cousins
United States Magistrate Judge

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28