1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

PETER CLARK,

No. C 12-3559 RS

Plaintiff, v.

CONTINUANCE OF ORDER TO **SHOW CAUSE**

CHARLES BOYLE, et al.,

Defendants

Pro se plaintiff Peter Clark was ordered to show cause why this action should not be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. In response, Clark listed health, financial, and other difficulties he has encountered, and explained that he continues to seek counsel to represent him. Clark requested additional time.

This case, originally filed in 2012, has yet to progress to service of the complaint, and it cannot be delayed indefinitely without causing potential prejudice to any defendants that may eventually be called on to respond to the action. Accordingly, while one further continuance will be granted, Clark shall file a declaration no later than June 6, 2014, not to exceed 15 pages, showing cause, if any, why this action should not be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. If no filing is made, the action will be dismissed without further notice.

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

IT IS SO ORDERED.

3 Dated: 4/30/14

RICHARD SEEBORG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE