

- Plaintiff Fujifilm Corporation and Defendant Motorola Mobility LLC (collectively, the "parties"), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:
- WHEREAS, in the Court's Order Regarding Scheduling (Docket #82), the Court approved
 the parties' agreed-on deadline of July 18, 2014 as the close of fact discovery;

WHEREAS, the parties have endeavored to complete all fact discovery by this deadline
but believe that it is reasonably necessary for the source code produced in this action by Motorola,
as well as by third-parties Qualcomm Incorporated, NVIDIA Corporation, Texas Instruments, and
Hewlett-Packard Company, to be available for further review by the parties' respective experts
and that additional time should be afforded to accommodate the schedules of and minimize the
burdens on third parties who have been subpoenaed for documents and/or testimony;

11 WHEREAS, since source code is expected to be addressed in upcoming expert reports and 12 the subject of deposition and trial testimony, the parties agree it may be reasonably necessary for 13 their respective experts to further consult the source code to prepare their reports and to testify 14 and, as such, jointly request permission to access the source code produced by Motorola for 15 review and printing through trial and, subject to working out the details with the third parties, to 16 access the source code produced by those third parties for review and printing at least until 17 January 16, 2015, but this source code accessibility shall not change or modify any deadlines 18 established by the Court;

19 WHEREAS, to accommodate and coordinate with the schedules of third parties whose 20 depositions have been noticed by one or both of the parties for documents and/or testimony, and to 21 afford the parties additional time to attempt to reach agreements on the admissibility of third-party 22 declaration testimony, which could potentially obviate the need for certain third parties to sit for 23 depositions, the parties jointly request a short three-week extension of the July 18, 2014 deadline 24 to meet and confer in this regard and complete the taking of discovery from these third parties, 25 including Qualcomm Incorporated, NVIDIA Corporation, Texas Instruments, Omnivision 26 Technologies Inc., Aptina Imaging Corp., Hewlett-Packard Company, Bluetooth SIG, Stewart 27 Cheifet, Olaf Joeressen, Jani Kaikko, Nokia, Microsoft, Ericsson, Jim Kardach, Simon Ellis, and 28 Intel;



1

2

1	WHEREAS, opening expert reports are due on October 3, 2014, there have been no prior
2	requests for extensions to the July 18, 2014 fact discovery deadline, and a limited extension of this
3	deadline for the limited purpose of conducting third-party discovery will not affect any other
4	Court-set deadlines.
5	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties hereto
6	through their respective attorneys of record that (1) the parties are permitted to access the source
7	code produced by Motorola for review and printing through trial and, subject to working out the
8	details with the third parties, to access the source code produced by those third parties for review
9	and printing at least until January 16, 2015; and (2) the July 18, 2014 deadline for the taking of
10	fact discovery is extended by three weeks, until August 8, 2014, to complete the taking of
11	discovery from third parties who have been subpoenaed for documents and/or testimony.
12	DATED: July 15, 2014 Respectfully submitted,
13	KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP
14	
15	By: /s/Jordan Trent Jones
16	A. James Isbester Benjamin Kleinman-Green
17	Jordan Trent Jones
18	Attorneys for Defendant, Motorola Mobility LLC
19	DATED: July 15, 2014 Respectfully submitted,
20	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOKIUS LLP
21	
22	By: <u>/s/Ahren C. Hsu-Hoffman</u>
23	Ahren C. Hsu-Hoffman
24	Attorneys for Plaintiff
25	Fujifilm Corporation
26	///
27	///
28	///



STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING SOURCE CODE AND THIRD-PARTY DISCOVERY; CASE NO. C12-03587 WHO

1	ATTESTATION CLAUSE REGARDING SIGNATURES
2	Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I attest under penalty of perjury that
3	I have on file permission to sign for counsel, as indicated by a "confirmed" signature (/s/) within
4	the e-filed document.
5	
6	<u>/s/Jordan Trent Jones</u> Jordan Trent Jones
7	Jordan Trent Jones
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	
10	H.CLE
11	WILLIAM H. ORRICK, III United States District Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

K