1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
10		
11	JOHNNY HENDERSON, LANIDA	Case No. 12-cv-03655 NC
12	GREEN,	REMINDER NOTICE OF
13	Plaintiffs,	UPCOMING TRIAL AND FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE
14	V.	
15	UNITED AIRLINES, INC. and DOES 1 to 50,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	This notice serves as a friendly reminder that this case remains set for a FINAL	
19	PRETRIAL CONFERENCE on October 23, 2013, at 2:00 p.m., with a JURY TRIAL on	
20	November 4, 2013. Please consult the existing case management order and review and	
21	follow all standing guidelines and orders of the undersigned for civil cases on the Court's	
22	website at http://www.cand.uscourts.gov. Continuances will rarely be granted.	
23	The final pretrial conference will be an important event, for it will be there that the	
24	shape of the upcoming trial will be determined, including in limine orders, time limits and	
25	exhibit mechanics. Lead trial counsel must attend.	
26	To avoid any misunderstanding with respect to the final pretrial conference and trial,	
27	the Court wishes to emphasize that all filings and appearances must be made — on pain of	
28	dismissal, default or other sanction — unless and until a dismissal fully resolving the case is	
	Case No. 12-cv-03655 NC REMINDER NOTICE	

received. It will not be enough to inform the clerk that a settlement in principle has been 1 2 reached or to lodge a partially executed settlement agreement or to lodge a fully executed 3 agreement (or dismissal) that resolves less than the entire case. Where, however, a fully-4 executed and unconditional settlement agreement clearly and fully disposing of the entire case is lodged reasonably in advance of the pretrial conference or trial and only a ministerial 5 act remains, the Court will arrange a status conference to work out an alternate procedure 6 7 pending a formal dismissal. Please state whether the Court can be of further ADR assistance (but avoid stating 8 offers, counteroffers or dollar amounts). 9 In this case, the Court wishes to consider the following additional trial procedures 10 and desires that counsel meet and confer and reach a stipulation concerning whether and 11 how to use them: 12 1. Scheduling opposing experts so as to appear in successive order; 13 2. Giving preliminary instructions on the law; 14 3. 15 Allowing limited pre-closing deliberations (as per, e.g., Rule 39(f) of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure); and 16 17 4. Allowing each side fifteen minutes of opening/argument time to be used during the evidence time (in addition to normal opening statement and closing argument). 18 Please present the results of your stipulation (or not) in the joint pretrial conference 19 submissions. 20 IT IS SO ORDERED. 21 22 Date: September 26, 2013 Nathanael M. Cousins 23 United States Magistrate Judge 24 25 26 27

Case No. 12-cv-03655 NC REMINDER NOTICE

28