1 2	ANN M. ASIANO (SBN 094891) JORDAN M. GREEN (SBN 130353) BRADLEY, CURLEY, ASIANO,		
3	BARRABEE, ABEL & KOWALSKI, P.C. 1100 Larkspur Landing Circle, Suite 200		
4	Larkspur, ĈA 94939 Telephone: (415) 464-8888		
5	Facsimile: (415) 464-8887 E-Mail: <u>aasiano@professionals-law.com</u>		
6	Attorneys for Defendant		
7	JON ALEXANDER		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11			
12	MICHAEL REISE, an individual,	) Case Number CV-12-03723-WHO	
13	Plaintiff,	<ul> <li>THE PARTIES' STIPULATION AND ORDER</li> <li>TO CONTINUE JURY TRIAL AND ALL</li> </ul>	
14	v.	) RELATED DATES	
15	COUNTY OF DEL NORTE; CRESCENT CITY; CRESCENT CITY POLICE	)	
16	DEPARTMENT; COUNTY OF DEL NORTE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT;	) ) Complaint Filed: July 16, 2012	
17	JON ALEXANDER; BRIAN NEWMAN; RICHARD GRIFFIN; BOB BARBER;	) Trial Date: July 14, 2014	
18	KEITH DOYLE; DOUG PLACK; DOES 1-10 inclusive,	)	
19	Defendants.	)	
20		)	
21			
22	Counsel for Defendants COUNTY OF DEL NORTE, RICHARD GIFFIN, CRECENT CITY;		
23	CRESCENT CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT, KEITH DOYLE, DOUG PLACK and JON		
24	ALEXANDER ("Defendants"), and Plaintiff MICHAEL RIESE ("Plaintiff") hereby stipulate to		
25	continue jury trial and all related dates. The p	parties respectively request the Court to continue the jury	
26	trial and all related dates for a minimum of four months. The parties participated in mediation with the		
27	Honorable Raul A. Ramirez (ret.) on January	23, 2014 in Sacramento, but did not reach a resolution.	
28			
	PARTIES' STIPULATION TO CONTINUE JURY T	-1- RIAL AND ALL RELATED DATES	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NUME		

	Counsel	for all parties agree that good cause exists to continue the jury trial and all related dates		
1 2	because of the delay caused by Mr. Claypool's numerous motions and applications to continue case			
3	management conferences, hearings and late initial disclosure on behalf of Plaintiff, which consequently			
4	delayed	the commencement of discovery.		
5		PROCEDURAL HISTORY		
6	1.	On July 16, 2012, Plaintiff filed his Complaint for Damages.		
7	2.	On October 22, 2012 and November 19, 2012, respectively, County of Del Norte filed its		
8 9		Answer and Amended Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint.		
10	3.	On November 29, 2012, Defendant Crescent City and its Officers filed their Answer to		
11		Plaintiff's Complaint.		
12	4.	On February 15, 2013, Jon Alexander filed his Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint.		
13	5.	On March 18, 2013, Jon Alexander filed his Notice of Special Motion to strike.		
14 15	6.	On April 1, 2013, County of Del Norte and Richard Griffin filed their Notice of Special		
16		Motion and Motion to strike.		
17	7.	On May 7, 2013, Plaintiff filed an Ex Parte Application for an Order Continuing the Case		
18		Management Conference and hearing on the Anti-SLAPP motions.		
19	8.	On May 15, 2013, the Court granted the parties stipulated and proposed order to continue the		
20		Case Management Conference and hearing on the Anti-SLAPP motion.		
21	9.	On May 29, 2013, Plaintiff's initial counsel, Brian Claypool, filed a motion to withdraw		
22		as counsel.		
23	10	. On June 11, 2013, the Court set an Order continuing the hearing on the Anti-SLAPP motions		
24		until after it resolves Mr. Claypool's Motion to Withdraw as Counsel.		
25 26	11	. On June 15, 2013, Plaintiff filed a stipulation to continue the CMC and hearing on his motion		
27		to withdraw.		
28	///			
	12	. On June 27, 2013, this case was reassigned to the Honorable William H. Orrick and all		

Error! Main Document Only. hearing dates were vacated and ordered to be reset.

## 13. On August 6, 2013, Mr. Claypool filed a Motion to Withdraw his Motion to Withdraw as Counsel.

14. On August 6, 2013, Plaintiff filed a Motion for leave to Amend his Complaint.

15. On September 3, 2013, after numerous continuances by the parties, specifically Plaintiff, the Court granted Defendant Alexander's Anti-SLAPP motion and Granted in Part and Denied in Part Defendant Griffin's Anti-SLAPP motion.

16. On October 4, 2013, Mr. Claypool, filed a second motion to withdraw as counsel.

- 17. On October 9, 2013, the Court denied Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File an Amended Complaint.
- 18. On October 28, 2013, Mr. Claypool filed an Ex-Parte Application to Appear telephonically or continue the hearing on his motion to withdraw as counsel.
- 19. On November 14, 2013, the Court granted Mr. Claypool's Motion to Withdraw as counsel for Plaintiff after the completion of mediation.

20. On January 7, 2014, the Court granted consent to Plaintiff's substitution of attorney.

21. On January 23, 2014, the parties attended mediation with the Honorable Judge A. Ramirez (ret.) in Sacramento, California, but did not reach a resolution.

## ARGUMENT

As the Court can see, this case has a lengthy procedural history filled with many continuances sought by Plaintiff and/or his previous counsel, Brian Claypool. For example, discovery was delayed by the uncertainty of Plaintiff's counsel. Mr. Claypool filed his first Motion to Withdraw as Counsel on May 29, 2013, but then withdrew that motion approximately 3 months later, just to file a second motion to withdraw two months after withdrawing his first motion, which was ultimately granted on November 14, 2013. Plaintiff's new counsel substituted in on January 7, 2014, shortly before mediation. Thus, discovery was delayed because Plaintiff's representation of counsel was in limbo

-3-

rror! Main ocument Only. 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

from May 29, 2013 to January 7, 2014.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

//

//

Next, the issues in the pleadings were not resolved until September 3, 2013, when the Court issued its Order granting Defendant Jon Alexander's Anti-SLAPP motion and granting in part and denying in part Defendants County of Del Norte and Richard Griffin's Anti-SLAPP motions, which were filed approximately six months earlier, on March 18, 2013 and April 1, 2013, respectively. During that time period, Mr. Claypool sought several continuances of the case management conferences and hearing on Defendants' Anti-SLAPP motions for a variety of reasons, such as scheduling conflicts and medical issues.

Lastly, this is a complex case with multiple defendants ranging from individuals to law 10 11 enforcement agencies, several theories of liability and at least thirty-five witnesses who support or 12 contradict Plaintiff's allegations. There is a long history of relationships between the parties and 13 witnesses to explore, with issues commencing in 2006. Thus, because of the numerous continuances 14 of the case management conference, the ruling on the Anti-SLAPP motions and Mr. Claypool's 15 numerous motions to withdraw as counsel, the parties need more time to conduct discovery and further 16 investigate the issues discussed at mediation. Finally, Plaintiff has just retained new counsel as of 17 18 January 7, 2014.

Discovery in this matter is currently scheduled to close on March 3, 2014, dispositive motions are due on April 9, 2014. Now that the pleadings, counsel and mediation in this matter have finally been decided or completed, there is simply not enough time left to complete discovery and meet all other pretrial deadlines.

## 26 27

Error! Main Document Only.

## **CONCLUSION**

-4-

All parties respectfully request that this Court issue an Order continuing the jury trial and all

1	related dates for a minimum of four months to on or about October 2014, to permit the parties to conduct discovery, have dispositive motions heard and prepare for trial.		
2			
3			
4 5	Dated: January 31, 2014	BRADLEY, CURLEY, ASIANO, BARRABEE, ABEL & KOWALSKI, P.C.	
6 7	By:	<u>/s/ Ann M. Asiano</u> ANN M. ASIANO Attorneys for Defendant	
8 9		JON ALEXANDER	
10	Dated: January 31, 2014	PATTON, WOLAN, CARLISLE, LLP	
11 12	By:	<u>/s/ Clariza C. Garcia</u> STEVEN C. WOLAN	
13		CLARIZA C. GARCIA Attorneys for Defendants	
14		COUNTY OF DEL NORTE AND RICHARD GRIFFIN	
15			
16	Dated: January 31, 2014	HUNT & JEPPSON, LLP	
17 18	By:	<u>/s/ Spencer Christensen</u> SPENCER CHRISTENSEN	
19 20		Attorneys for Defendants CRESCENT CITY, CRESCENT CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT, KEITH DOYLE & DOUG PLACK	
21			
22	Dated: January 31, 2014	BERG & ASSOCIATES	
23	By:	/s/ Mordechai Pelta	
24		MORDECHAI PELTA Attorneys for Plaintiff	
25 26		MICHAEL RIESE	
26 27			
28			
Main ht Only.			

1	ORDER		
2	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION AND THE COURT FINDING GOOD CAUSE, IT IS		
З	<sup>3</sup> HEREBY ORDERED that the jury trial is continued from July 14, 2014 to October 27		
4 5	following new dates are established:		
6	Non-Expert Discovery cutoff:	May 30, 2014	
7	Expert Designation:	May 30, 2014	
8	Expert Rebuttal Designation:	June 13, 2014	
9	Expert Discovery cutoff:	July 2, 2015	
10	Dispositive and all Motions heard by:	July 16, 2014	
11	Pre-Trial Conference:	September 29, 2014, 2:00 p.m in Courtroom 2	
12 13			
14	DATED: February 11, 2014	V. MOO	
15		HON. WILLIAM H. ORRICK	
16		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDG	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
oniy.			