

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

U.A.LOCAL 342,

No. CV 12-03779 LB

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

IPURITY INC.,

Defendant.

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR,

IT IS ORDERED that this case is reassigned to the **Honorable Maxine M. Chseney** in the **San Francisco division** for all further proceedings. Counsel are instructed that all future filings shall bear the **initials MMC** immediately after the case number. All hearing dates presently scheduled are vacated and motions should be noticed for hearing before the judge to whom the case has been reassigned. Briefing schedules remain unchanged. *See* Civil L.R. 7-7(d). Matters for which a magistrate judge has already issued a report and recommendation shall not be rebriefed or noticed for hearing before the newly assigned judge; such matters shall proceed in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

FOR THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE:

Dated: September 11, 2012


Richard W. Wieking
Clerk of Court

rev 4-12

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California