

1 GEORGE J. BARRON, BAR NO. 53117
 george@donahue.com
 2 ANDREW S. MACKAY, BAR NO. 197074
 andrew@donahue.com
 3 DONAHUE GALLAGHER WOODS LLP
 Attorneys at Law
 4 1999 Harrison Street, 25th Floor
 Oakland, California 94612-3520
 5 P.O. Box 12979
 Oakland, California 94604-2979
 6 Telephone: (510) 451-0544
 Facsimile: (510) 832-1486

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 8 DAVID LOFTON

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 11

12 DAVID LOFTON,
 13 Plaintiff,
 14 v.
 15 ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE
 16 COMPANY, a New York corporation,
 17 Defendant.

Case No. C12-03835 MMC
 STIPULATION TO FILE SECOND
 AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
 AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

On September 28, 2012, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause ("OSC") concerning the jurisdiction of this Court. Plaintiff David Lofton ("Plaintiff") seeks to file a Second Amended Complaint ("SAC"), attached hereto as Exhibit A, in response to the OSC.

Defendant Zurich American Insurance Company ("Defendant"), by and through its counsel, hereby stipulates and agrees that Plaintiff may file the SAC. Nothing in this stipulation, however, waives or limits Defendant's right to respond to or challenge the contents of the SAC now or in the future.

IT IS SO STIPULATED,

Dated: October 16, 2012

DONAHUE GALLAGHER WOODS LLP

By: /s/ George J. Barron
George J. Barron
Attorneys for Plaintiff
DAVID LOFTON

Dated: October 16, 2012

OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK &
STEWART, P.C.

By: /s/ Danielle Ochs
Danielle Ochs
Attorneys for Defendant
ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE
COMPANY

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ATTESTATION

Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1, I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories.

Dated: October 16, 2012

DONAHUE GALLAGHER WOODS LLP

By: /s/ George J. Barron
George J. Barron
Attorneys for Plaintiff
DAVID LOFTON

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT A

1 GEORGE J. BARRON, BAR NO. 53117
george@donahue.com
2 ANDREW S. MACKAY, BAR NO. 197074
andrew@donahue.com
3 DONAHUE GALLAGHER WOODS LLP
Attorneys at Law
4 1999 Harrison Street, 25th Floor
Oakland, California 94612-3520
5 P.O. Box 12979
Oakland, California 94604-2979
6 Telephone: (510) 451-0544
Facsimile: (510) 832-1486
7

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff
DAVID LOFTON

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11

12 DAVID LOFTON,
13 Plaintiff,
14
15 v.
16 ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE
COMPANY, a New York corporation,
17 Defendant.

Case No. C12-03835 MMC
SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR
DAMAGES
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 COMES NOW, Plaintiff David Lofton, an individual, ("Plaintiff") and alleges as follows:

2 **JURISDICTIONAL BASIS**

3 1. Defendant Zurich American Insurance Company ("Zurich") is and at all times
4 relevant hereto was a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York
5 with a principal place of business in San Francisco County.

6 2. The Court's jurisdiction in this case is based on Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights
7 Act, 42 USC 2000(e), et seq. in the first cause of action and related pendant jurisdiction under the
8 California Fair Employment and Housing Act, California Government Code Section 12960, et
9 seq.

10 3. Plaintiff at all times relevant hereto was and is a resident of Pittsburg, Contra
11 Costa County, California. Zurich is a New York corporation. Plaintiff alleges his damages in this
12 case are in excess of \$75,000. Therefore, complete diversity exists and the Court has diversity
13 jurisdiction over this case.

14 **INTRODUCTION**

15 4. Plaintiff is African American, over 60 years old, and a resident of Pittsburg,
16 Contra Costa County, California.

17 5. Plaintiff performed his duties in multiple positions for Zurich for over a decade in
18 a consistently superior manner. This is the objective conclusion of Plaintiff's superiors and peers
19 as well as Plaintiff's national contacts. The number of individuals who have stepped forward to
20 validate Plaintiff's performance and reputation since his employment problems began is very
21 impressive. There are an abundance of witnesses available to testify not only to Plaintiff's
22 competence as an adjustor and supervisor but also as to his excellence in both areas. His counsel
23 and advice have been regularly sought after by all of these respected organizations; ironically
24 former peers who have been promoted above Plaintiff, and who are white and younger, are
25 among these persons.

26 6. Plaintiff's claims against Zurich are many. They start with race and age
27 discrimination. Plaintiff is African-American, over the age of 60, and has not been given pay
28 raises or promotions that have been given to less qualified whites, and less qualified younger

1 employees. Plaintiff has been demoted, not given sufficient staff to accomplish assignments or
2 take uninterrupted vacations, had performance reviews improperly done using Quality Assurance
3 audits, and had Human Resources Department personnel issues transferred to him for blame.

4 7. While Zurich's policy required them, Plaintiff did not receive the step increases in
5 his salary over the years at Zurich; in contrast Whites and younger employees did receive the step
6 increases. Zurich increased Plaintiff's claim load substantially while not doing so to white or
7 younger employees. Plaintiff's treatment is an overwhelmingly glaring example of racial and age
8 discrimination.

9 8. Plaintiff complained about this discriminatory treatment. Plaintiff was retaliated
10 against for his complaints by increased and continuing discrimination in pay, promotions, and
11 claims assignments.

12 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

13 **(Race Discrimination and Retaliation)**

14 For a first cause of action against Zurich and Does 1 through 100, inclusive, and each of
15 them, Plaintiff alleges as follows:

16 9. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and all of the allegations
17 contained in paragraphs 1 through 8 hereinabove set forth.

18 10. Plaintiff, an African American, started at Zurich over 10 years ago and began
19 receiving regular raises and promotions. Plaintiff was simply an outstanding performer.

20 11. Plaintiff's excellence in his job is thoroughly documented. Against this
21 background, Zurich discriminated and retaliated against Plaintiff because of his race, African
22 American.

23 12. As a direct and proximate result of the extreme and outrageous conduct of Zurich,
24 Plaintiff has suffered humiliation, mental anguish, and emotional and physical distress, and has
25 been injured in his health, strength, and activity, including, but not limited to, loss of sleep and
26 shock and injury to his nervous system, all of which have caused and continue to cause Plaintiff
27 great mental, physical, and nervous pain and suffering to his damage, the precise amount of
28 which Plaintiff will prove.

1 13. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned wrongful conduct of
2 Zurich, as hereinabove described, its conduct was willful, wanton, oppressive, fraudulent and
3 malicious, thereby entitling Plaintiff to an award of exemplary and punitive damages against
4 Zurich, the precise amount of which Plaintiff will prove.

5 14. Plaintiff filed a charge of discrimination against Zurich with the Equal
6 Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC") and alleges violations herein of Title VII of the
7 1964 Civil Rights Act, 42 USC § 2000(e), et seq. On April 24, 2012 the EEOC issued Plaintiff a
8 Right to Sue Letter, attached as Exhibit A. Plaintiff has exhausted his administrative remedies
9 with the EEOC and has filed suit in a timely manner. Plaintiff filed a charge of discrimination
10 with the Californian Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH") under Section
11 12960, et seq. of the California Government Code. On July 17, 2012, the DFEH issued Plaintiff a
12 notice of right to sue, attached as Exhibit B. Plaintiff has exhausted his administrative remedies
13 with the DFEH and has instituted this civil action in a timely manner.

14 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as hereinafter set forth.

15 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

16 **(Breach of Contract)**

17 For a second cause of action against Zurich, Plaintiff alleges as follows:

18 15. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and all of the
19 allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 14 hereinabove set forth.

20 16. In or about 2002, Plaintiff and Zurich entered into an oral employment agreement
21 in San Francisco County, California, under which Plaintiff agreed to work for Zurich in the initial
22 capacity of Senior Claims Specialist and for which Zurich agreed to pay Plaintiff compensation.

23 17. Plaintiff has performed each and every condition and covenant required on his part
24 to be performed pursuant to said employment, and in particular, was continuously employed by
25 Zurich from said date through the present. Plaintiff has at all times relevant herein received
26 positive performance and outstanding contribution evaluations, and has been regularly praised by
27 Zurich's directors, executives, and trade industry groups.
28

1 and communications which resulted in said implied promises, Zurich covenanted, warranted, and
2 promised to act in good faith toward and deal fairly with Plaintiff and concerning all other matters
3 relating to said employment so as not to deprive Plaintiff of or injure his rights to receive the
4 benefits of said relationship.

5 24. The failure of Zurich to act in good faith and deal fairly with Plaintiff was
6 wrongful, in bad faith and unfair, and therefore a violation of the legal duty of Zurich to deal
7 fairly and in good faith with Plaintiff.

8 25. As a direct and foreseeable result of said breach the implied covenant of good faith
9 and fair dealing, Plaintiff has suffered damages in the form of lost wages, salary, benefits, certain
10 other incidental and consequential expenses and losses all in a substantial amount, the precise
11 amount of which Plaintiff will prove.

12 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as hereinafter set forth.

13 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

14 **(Age Discrimination)**

15 For a fourth cause of action against Zurich, Plaintiff alleges as follows:

16 26. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by this reference each and all of the
17 allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 25 hereinabove set forth.

18 27. Plaintiff is a man over the age of 60 and a resident of Pittsburg, Contra Costa
19 County, California. Zurich has throughout Plaintiff's employment given pay raises and
20 promotions to lesser qualified individuals who are younger than Plaintiff.

21 28. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned discriminatory acts and
22 practices of Zurich, Plaintiff has suffered general damages in a substantial sum, the precise
23 amount of which Plaintiff will prove.

24 29. The discriminatory conduct of Zurich, as hereinabove described was willful,
25 wanton, oppressive, fraudulent, and malicious, deliberate, egregious, and inexcusable, thereby
26 entitling Plaintiff to an award of exemplary and punitive damages against said Zurich, the precise
27 amount of which Plaintiff will prove.

28

1 30. Plaintiff filed a complaint of age discrimination with the DFEH under Section
2 12960, et seq. of the California Government Code. On July 17, 2012, the DFEH issued Plaintiff a
3 notice of right to sue, attached as Exhibit B. Plaintiff has exhausted his administrative remedies
4 and has instituted this civil action in a timely manner.

5 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as hereinafter set forth.

6 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

7 **(Intentional Infliction Of Emotional Distress)**

8 For a fifth cause of action against Zurich, Plaintiff alleges as follows:

9 31. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by this reference each and all of the
10 allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 30 hereinabove set forth.

11 32. As a direct and proximate result of the extreme and outrageous conduct of Zurich,
12 Plaintiff has suffered humiliation, mental anguish, and emotional and physical distress, and has
13 been injured in his health, strength, and activity, including, but not limited to, loss of sleep and
14 shock and injury to his nervous system, all of which have caused and continue to cause Plaintiff
15 great mental, physical, and nervous pain and suffering to his damage, the precise amount of
16 which Plaintiff will prove.

17 33. The conduct of Zurich as hereinabove described was willful, wanton, oppressive,
18 fraudulent and malicious, thereby entitling Plaintiff to an award of exemplary and punitive
19 damages against Zurich, the precise amount of which Plaintiff will prove.

20 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as hereinafter set forth.

21 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

22 **(Negligent Infliction Of Emotional Distress)**

23 For a sixth cause of action against Zurich, Plaintiff alleges as follows:

24 34. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by this reference each and all of the
25 allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 33 hereinabove set forth.

26 35. The conduct of Zurich, as hereinabove described was negligent, careless and
27 wrongful. Zurich and its agents and employees confirmed and ratified the negligent, careless, and
28 wrongful acts of the remaining defendants herein with a careless disregard for Plaintiff's

1 emotional and physical distress. Said confirmation and ratification on the part of Zurich was
2 done with a negligent, careless, and wrongful disregard of the consequences to Plaintiff.

3 36. As a direct and proximate result of the negligent, careless, and wrongful conduct
4 of Zurich, Plaintiff has suffered humiliation, mental anguish, and emotional and physical distress,
5 and has been injured in his health, strength and activity, including but not limited to, a loss of
6 sleep and the shock and injury to his nervous system, all of which have caused and continue to
7 cause in Plaintiff great mental, physical and nervous pain and suffering, the precise amount of
8 which Plaintiff will prove.

9 37. PLAINTIFF HEREBY DEMANDS A JURY TRIAL.

10 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

11 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays judgment against Zurich, as follows:

- 12 1. For general damages in excess of \$75,000 according to proof;
- 13 2. For exemplary and punitive damages according to proof;
- 14 3. For declaratory judgment that Zurich's conduct complained of herein is unlawful
15 and in violation of Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, 42 USC § 2000(e), et seq.;
- 16 4. For declaratory judgment that Zurich's conduct complained of herein is unlawful
17 and in violation of The California Fair Employment and Housing Act;
- 18 5. For attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein; and
- 19 6. For such other and further relief as this court may deem just and proper.

20 Dated: October 16, 2012

DONAHUE GALLAGHER WOODS LLP

21
22 By: /s/ George J. Barron

23 George J. Barron
24 Attorneys for Plaintiff
25 DAVID LOFTON
26
27
28


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

Pursuant to stipulation, the Court hereby ORDERS that Plaintiff file his Second Amended Complaint For Damages on or before October ²⁴~~22~~, 2012.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 22, 2012


The Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
United States District Court Judge