

1 ALEXANDER G. CALFO (SBN 152891)
ACalfo@yukelaw.com
 2 KELLEY S. OLAH (SBN 245180)
KOlah@yukelaw.com
 3 GABRIELLE ANDERSON-THOMPSON (SBN 247039)
ganderson-thompson@yukelaw.com
 4 YUKEVICH CALFO & CAVANAUGH
 355 S. Grand Avenue, 15th Floor
 5 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560
 Telephone: (213) 362-7777
 6 Facsimile: (213) 362-7788

7 Attorneys for Defendants
 DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., JOHNSON &
 8 JOHNSON SERVICES, INC., JOHNSON &
 JOHNSON (erroneously sued as Johnson &
 9 Johnson, Inc."), and DEPUY INTERNATIONAL
 LIMITED (erroneously sued as DePuy
 10 International, Ltd.")

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

12 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

13
 14 SCOTT PRESSLER and SARAH
 PRESSLER,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 vs.

17 DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., JOHNSON
 18 & JOHNSON SERVICES, INC., JOHNSON
 & JOHNSON, INC., DEPUY
 19 INTERNATIONAL, LTD., THOMAS P.
 SCHMALZRIED; M.D., THOMAS P.
 20 SCHMALZRIED, M.D. A PROFESSIONAL
 CORPORATION; and DOES 1 through 20,
 21 inclusive,

22 Defendants.
 23

CASE NO. CV12-3889-~~LB~~ EMC

**[PROPOSED] ORDER RE STIPULATION
 TO STAY PROCEEDINGS**

24
 25 Upon consideration of the Stipulation to Stay Proceedings submitted by Plaintiffs SCOTT
 26 PRESSLER and SARAH PRESSLER and Defendants DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC.,
 27 JOHNSON & JOHNSON SERVICES, INC., JOHNSON & JOHNSON (erroneously sued as
 28 Johnson & Johnson, Inc."), and DEPUY INTERNATIONAL LIMITED (erroneously sued as

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DePuy International, Ltd.") (hereafter collectively "the Parties"); upon consideration of all documents, files, and pleadings in this action; and upon good cause shown, it is hereby ORDERED that:

1. The Parties' request for a stay of proceedings is GRANTED;
2. All proceedings in this action are hereby stayed, pending a decision by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on whether this case should be transferred to *In re DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc. Pinnacle Hip Implant Products Liability Litigation*, MDL Docket No. 2244.
3. All deadlines, including any deadlines relating to a potential remand motion and any outstanding responsive pleading, are extended pending entry of a Scheduling Order in the MDL court addressing the sequencing and processing of future remand motions.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 8/21, 2012

Hon. Laurel Beeler

