Shephard et al v. Lowe's HIW, Inc.

Dac. 78

Dockets.Justia.com

Hunton & Williams LLP

give testimony at the trial of this action." The parties ask the Court to approve the agreed-upon notice and rule on whether the additional sentence proposed by Lowe's should be included.

Based on the foregoing, and the Court having considered the parties' filings, the Court hereby ORDERS as follows:

- 1. The court has reviewed the proposed notice filed by the parties and finds that it meets the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2)(B). The Notice, therefore, and is because the Court has not determined the disputed issue, but the disputed APPROVED; language provides that class member "may" be required,
- Defendant's request to add its proposed additional sentence to the notice is [GRANTED/<del>DENIED</del>];
- 3. The notice proposed by the parties, as approved herein, following the insertion of the administrator's name and address, shall serve as the notice to be issued in this matter; and
- 4. Plaintiff shall provide the notice and the questionnaire, as approved herein, along with postage-prepaid post-cards and envelopes as indicated in the proposed notice submitted by the parties, via first class mail to the last known addresses of the class members, as provided by the defendant on or before the date previously set by this Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 6, 2014

H.M. Jeffrey . White United States District Judge

Huy Swhits