

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PAG-DALY CITY, LLC TOYOTA,  
Plaintiff,

No. C 12-3907 WHA

v.


QUALITY AUTO LOCATORS, INC., *et al.*,  
Defendants.

**ORDER DENYING PRO HAC  
VICE APPLICATION OF  
ATTORNEY ANDREW OLTMAN  
MATHEWS**

The *pro hac vice* application of Attorney Andrew Oltman Mathews (Dkt. No. 304) is **DENIED** for failing to comply with Local Rule 11-3. The local rule requires that an applicant certify that “he or she is an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States *Court* or of *the highest court* of another State or the District of Columbia, *specifying such bar*” (emphasis added). Filling out the *pro hac vice* form from the district court website such that it only identifies the state of bar membership — such as “the bar of Virginia” — is inadequate under the local rule because it fails to identify a specific court (such as the Supreme Court of Virginia). While the application fee does not need to be paid again, the application cannot be processed until a corrected form is submitted.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: December 2, 2013.

  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE