

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PAG-DALY CITY, LLC,  
Plaintiff,

No. C 12-03907 WHA


v.

**NOTICE REGARDING  
UPCOMING HEARING**

QUALITY AUTO LOCATORS, INC., et al.,  
Defendants.

Your case is set for a hearing on plaintiff’s motion for leave to file a second amended complaint on **FEBRUARY 6**. Please remember our need to train our next generation of lawyers. So please consider the advisability of assigning the argument to a lawyer of less than four years experience out of law school in your office. If you send a letter soon stating that such a lawyer will conduct the argument (for at least one side), then the hearing will definitely go forward; otherwise, the Court may rule in advance based on the papers if it concludes an oral argument would be of limited value in resolving the motion, in which case the hearing would be vacated. If you intend to submit such a letter, please do so by **NOON ON JANUARY 22**.

Dated: January 15, 2014.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE