1	CENTER FOR SCIENCE IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST		
2	Stephen Gardner (pro hac vice) sgardner@cspinet.org		
3	5646 Milton Street, Suite 714 Dallas, Texas 75206		
4	Telephone: (214) 827-2774		
5	Facsimile: (214) 827-2787		
6	Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs Janney and McKend	drick	
7	REESE RICHMAN LLP Michael R. Reese (California State Bar No. 206	5773)	
8	mreese@reeserichman.com	1113)	
9	875 Avenue of the Americas, 18th Floor New York, New York 10001		
10	Telephone: (212) 643-0500		
11	Facsimile: (212) 253-4272		
	Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs Janney, McKendrick, Bohac and Rojas		
12	PERKINS COIE LLP		
13	CSipos@perkinscoie.com		
14			
15	Seattle, Washington 98101		
16	Telephone: (206) 359-8000 Facsimile: (206) 359-9000		
17	Counsel for Defendant		
	Counsel for Defendant		
18		S DISTRICT COURT	
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
20	JUDITH JANNEY and AMY) Case No. C12-3919 WHO	
21	MCKENDRICK, on behalf of themselves and those similarly situated,	STIPULATION AND ORDER GRANTING	
22	Plaintiffs,	OCONTINUANCE OF CASE	
23	riaments,	MANAGEMENT CONFERENCES,HEARING DATES, AND BRIEFING	
24	V.	DEADLINES	
25	GENERAL MILLS and DOES 1-20,	Proposed Date: October 2, 2013	
	Defendants.) Time: 2:00pm	
26) Courtroom: 2, 17th Floor) Judge: Hon. William H. Orrick	
27		_)	
28			

1		
2	SEAN BOHAC, on behalf of himself and those similarly situated,	Case No. C12-5280 WHO
3))
4	Plaintiff,	
5	v.	
6	GENERAL MILLS, INC.))
7	Defendant.))
8		
9	CARRIEL BOLAG 1 1 10 C1: 10) Case No. C12-5099 WHO
10	GABRIEL ROJAS, on behalf of himself and those similarly situated,) Case No. C12-3099 W HO)
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.))
13	GENERAL MILLS, INC.	
14		
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	STIPULATION	
18	Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7-7(a), it is stipulated between Plaintiffs Judith Janney, Amy	
19	McKendrick, Sean Bohac, and Gabriel Rojas ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant General Mills, Inc.	
20	("Defendant"), by their respective attorneys:	
21	1. On July 23, 2013, Defendant filed a Motion to Stay Proceedings ("Motion to Stay")	
22	in two of the above-captioned related actions (Doc. 66 in Janney; Doc. 28 in Bohac). The
23	hearings on Defendant's Motions to Stay are c	urrently set for September 4, 2013, at 2:00 p.m.
24	2. On July 29, 2013, the Court tha	t the <i>Janney</i> , <i>Bohac</i> , and <i>Rojas</i> matters be related.
25	The matters are referred to collectively herein	as the "Actions."
26	3. A fully briefed Motion to Dism	iss is pending in <i>Rojas</i> (Doc. No. 27 in <i>Rojas</i>).
27	Pursuant to the Court's July 31, 2013, Order Reassigning Case (Doc. 43 in <i>Rojas</i>), the parties have	
28	been ordered to re-notice the hearing date for the Rojas Motion to Dismiss on this Court's	

1	calendar.
2	4. The Case Management Conferences in the <i>Jan</i>
3	set for August 20, 2013 at 2:00 p.m.

eney and Bohac Actions are currently

- 5. The parties propose to consolidate the Case Management Conferences with the hearings on the Motions to Stay in *Janney* and *Bohac*, to consolidate the hearing date of the Motion to Dismiss in *Rojas* with the Motions to Stay in *Janney* and *Bohac*, and to extend briefing deadlines for the Motions to Stay in Janney and Bohac.
- 6. Good cause exists to extend the date for hearing Defendant's Motions to Stay because both of the undersigned lead counsel for Plaintiffs are on family vacations on September 4, 2013, and unable to attend the hearing on that date. In addition, consolidation of the Case Management Conferences and the Motion hearings will conserve judicial resources because they are currently scheduled just two weeks apart and the schedule set at the Case Management Conferences may be affected by the Court's ruling on the Motion to Stay. There will be further conservation of judicial resources in consolidating the hearings on the Motions to Stay with the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss, as all three motions present similar issues. The parties also propose that the Court permit them additional time to complete briefing on the Motions to Stay.
- 7. Accordingly, the parties stipulate and request that the Court consolidate and reschedule the Case Management Conferences with the hearings on Defendant's Motion to Stay, and the hearing on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for October 2, 2013, at 2:00 p.m., and permit Plaintiffs to file their opposition to Defendant's Motion to Stay by August 29, 2013, and Defendant to file its reply in support of its Motion by September 12, 2013.
 - 8. There have been no previous time modifications in the Actions.
- 9. Other than moving the Case Management Conferences and Motions to Stay hearing dates, the requested extension would have no other effect on any dates set in the Actions.
- 25 IT IS SO STIPULATED.
- 26 DATED: August 5, 2013

27

CENTER FOR SCIENCE IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST

By: /s/ Stephen Gardner

28

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Stephen Gardner
2	Counsel for Plaintiffs Janney and McKendrick
3	REESE RICHMAN LLP
4	By: /s/ Michael R. Reese
5	Michael R. Reese
6	Counsel for Plaintiffs Janney, McKendrick
7	Bohac, and Rojas
8	PERKINS COIE LLP
9	By: /s/ Charles C. Sipos
10	Charles C. Sipos
11	Counsel for Defendant
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	REESE RICHMAN LLP	772)		
2	Michael R. Reese (California State Bar No. 206773) mreese@reeserichman.com			
3	875 Avenue of the Americas, 18th Floor New York, New York 10001			
4	Telephone: (212) 643-0500			
5	Facsimile: (212) 253-4272			
6	Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs Janney, McKendrick	z. Bohac and Rojas		
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
9	JUDITH JANNEY and AMY	Case No. C12-3919 WHO		
10	MCKENDRICK, on behalf of themselves and those similarly situated,) case (vo. C12-371) willo)		
11	•	ORDER GRANTING CONTINUANCE OF		
12	Plaintiffs,	CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCES, HEARING DATES, AND BRIEFING		
13	V.) DEADLINES		
14	GENERAL MILLS and DOES 1-20,))		
15	Defendants.))		
16)		
17	SEAN BOHAC, on behalf of himself and) Case No. C12-5280 WHO		
18	those similarly situated,))		
19	Plaintiff,))		
20	V.))		
21	GENERAL MILLS,))		
22	Defendant.))		
23))		
24		,		
25				
26				
27				
28				

1	GABRIEL ROJAS, on behalf of himself and those Case No. C12-5099 WHO	
2	similarly situated,	
3	Plaintiff,	
4	v.)	
5	GENERAL MILLS,	
6	Defendant.)	
7		
8		
9	<u>ORDER</u>	
10	Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties dated August 5, 2013, the Court ORDERS as	
11	follows:	
12	The Case Management Conferences in the Janney and Bohac actions and hearings on	
13	Defendant's Motions to Stay in those actions shall be held on October 2, 2013, at 2:00 p.m. The	
14	hearing on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss the Rojas action and a Case Management Conference	
15	for that action shall also be held on October 2, 2013, at 2:00 p.m.	
16	The plaintiffs in <i>Janney</i> and <i>Bohac</i> shall file their oppositions to Defendant's Motions to	
17	Stay by August 29, 2013, and Defendant shall file its reply in support of its Motion by September	
18	12, 2013.	
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
20	DATED: Angust 12, 2012	
21	DATED: August 12, 2015	
22	William H. Orrick United States District Judge	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		