1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9		
10	DAINNY LANE and BEVERLY LANE,	
11	individually, and for other persons similarly situated, No. C 12-04026 WHA	
12	Plaintiffs,	
13	v. ORDER PERMITTING DEFENDANT'S	
14	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., DEFENDANT'S SUR-REPLY BRIEF	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plainting order in they had good cause to amend the scheduling order in their	r
18	initial motion seeking leave to amend. Defendant may file a sur-reply orier to respond to	
19 20	plaintins assertions of good cause raised in their reply offer. Detendant s sur-reply offer shar	1
20 21	not exceed rive pages and must be submitted by NOON ON THURSDAY, MARCH 14, 2015.	
21 22		
22	II IS SO ORDERED.	
24	1 m Alma	
25	WILLIAM ALSUP	
26	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
27		
28		

United States District Court For the Northern District of California