

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

GREGORY S. MCBRIDE and
CONCETTA MCBRIDE,

No. C 12-04054 RS

Plaintiffs,

**ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER RE: *EX*
PARTE APPLICATION FOR
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING
ORDER AND ORDER TO SHOW
CAUSE**

v.

FIRST CALIFORNIA MORTGAGE
COMPANY; LUMINENT MORTGAGE
LOAN TRUST 2006-6; HSBC BANK, N.A.;
MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC
REGISTRATION SYSTEMS (“MERS”);
AURORA SERVICING COMPANY, and
DOES 1-20, Inclusive, et al.,

Defendants.

In this recently filed mortgage foreclosure action, plaintiffs Gregory and Concetta McBride apply, on an *ex parte* basis, for a temporary restraining order (TRO), or an order to show cause as to why a preliminary injunction should not issue. Specifically, plaintiffs request a restraining order against defendants enjoining them from transferring ownership of or further encumbering the property at issue, 471 Monterey Salinas Hwy, Salinas, California 93908. Because plaintiffs’ application does not represent that the threatened foreclosure sale is imminent, defendants may, if they so elect, file an opposition brief of up to five typed pages (excluding exhibits and supporting materials) by 5pm on Tuesday September 18, 2012. Absent further Court order, the request will then be adjudicated without a hearing, pursuant to N.D. Cal. Civil Local Rule 7-1(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: 9/17/12


RICHARD SEEBORG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

No. C 11-03079 RS
ORDER

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28