

1 MELINDA S. RIECHERT, State Bar No. 65504
 2 ZEENAT BASRAI, State Bar No. 240525
 3 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
 4 2 Palo Alto Square
 5 3000 El Camino Real, Suite 700
 6 Palo Alto, CA 94306-2122
 7 Telephone: 650.843.4000
 8 Facsimile: 650.843.4001
 9 email: mrieichert@morganlewis.com
 10 zbasrai@morganlewis.com

11 Attorneys for Defendant
 12 INFOSYS LIMITED

13 STEVEN G. TIDRICK, State Bar No. 224760
 14 ANDREW L. YOUNKINS, State Bar No. 267811
 15 THE TIDRICK LAW FIRM
 16 2039 Shattuck Avenue, Suite 308
 17 Berkeley, CA 94704
 18 Telephone: 510.788.5100
 19 Facsimile: 510.291.3226
 20 e-mail: sgt@tidricklaw.com

21 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 22 SATYA DEV TRIPURANENI

23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 24 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

25 SATYA DEV TRIPURANENI,
 26
 27 Plaintiff,
 28
 29 vs.
 30 INFOSYS LIMITED, a foreign corporation;
 31 and DOES 1-20,
 32
 33 Defendants.

Case No. C12-4079-JCS

**JOINT STIPULATION EXTENDING
 TIME TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE
 RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S
 COMPLAINT**

Civil Local Rule 6-1(a)

JOINT STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME
 TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND
 TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT
 CASE NO. C12-4079-JCS

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-1(a) of the United States District Court for the Northern
2 District of California, Plaintiff Satya Dev Tripuraneni and Defendant Infosys Limited
3 (“Defendant”), by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

4 The original deadline for Defendant to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint in
5 this action is August 27, 2012.

6 Pursuant to Rule 6-1(a), the parties have agreed that Defendants’ new deadline to answer
7 or otherwise respond to the Complaint will be September 17, 2012.

8 In light of Plaintiff’s counsel’s trial schedule, if Defendant’s response to Plaintiff’s
9 Complaint is a motion that requires an opposition, the parties agree to stipulate to a request that
10 the Court extend Plaintiff’s time to respond to any such motion by 21 days.

11 This is the first stipulation by the parties for an extension of time for Defendant to answer
12 or otherwise respond to the Complaint.

13 This stipulation will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by Court
14 order.

15 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

16 Dated: August 27, 2012

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

17
18 By /s/ Melinda S. Riechert
19 MELINDA S. RIECHERT
20 ZEENAT BASRAI
Attorneys for Defendant
INFOSYS LIMITED

21 Dated: August 27, 2012

THE TIDRICK LAW FIRM


22
23 By /s/ Steven G. Tidrick
24 STEVEN G. TIDRICK
25 ANDREW L. YOUNKINS
Attorneys for Plaintiff
26 SATYA DEV TRIPURANENI
27

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ATTESTATION CLAUSE

Pursuant to L.R. 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I, Melinda S. Riechert, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 27 day of August, 2012, at Palo Alto.

/s/ Melinda S. Riechert
Melinda S. Riechert



Dated: 8/29/12