

1 Richard Johnston - SBN 124524
 131-A Stony Circle, Suite 500
 2 Santa Rosa, California 95401
 Telephone (707) 939-5299
 3 Facsimile (707) 837-9532
Attorney for Plaintiff
 4 *Melanie Rincon*

5 W. Gary Kohlman
 Abigail Carter
 6 Bredhoff & Kaiser, PLLC
 805 15th Street, NW, Suite 1000
 7 Washington D.C. 20005
 Telephone (202) 842-2600
 8 Facsimile (202) 842-1888
Attorneys for Defendant
 9 *American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees*

10
 11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 12 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 13

14 MELANIE RINCON,)	Case No. C12-4158 MEJ
)	
15 Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
)	ORDER MODIFYING SCHEDULING
16 vs.)	ORDER IN RE DISCOVERY AND
)	DISPOSITIVE MOTION DATES
17 AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE,)	
COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, <i>et</i>)	
18 <i>al.</i> ,)	
)	
19 Defendants.)	

20
 21
 22 The parties hereto, by their undersigned counsel of record, hereby stipulate
 23 and agree as follows, and respectfully request that the court modify its November 26, 2012
 24 Case Management Order in the following respects.

25
 26 1. By this stipulation the parties request modest modifications to paragraphs B and
 27 C of the Case Management Order, as described in paragraph 3 below.

28

 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO
 MODIFY CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

1 2. The parties suggest good cause for the requested modification exists in that:

2 a. The parties have diligently pursued pretrial discovery in the months
3 following the Case Management Order's issuance. Both parties have conducted significant
4 paper discovery, including inspection demands, third-party subpoenas, and
5 interrogatories, and have cooperated in scheduling matters and in resolving discovery
6 disputes without the need for judicial intervention. The depositions of plaintiff and of her
7 treating physician have been completed. Moreover, although they have not made each
8 other aware of the specifics or details of same, each party hereby represents to the court
9 that it has conducted significant informal investigation into the matters alleged in the
10 pleadings as well.

11 b. Experts have been timely disclosed by the parties. After disclosure and
12 production of the written report of Ms. Rincon's designated expert Mary Ciddio, M.A.,
13 CRC, CDMS, D/ABVE, however, Ms. Ciddio advised of a previously unanticipated conflict
14 in her schedule rendering her unavailable for deposition through the end of June 2013.
15 The parties have met and conferred as to the most efficient manner in which to address
16 this development, and believe the modifications requested by this stipulation would be the
17 most efficacious manner in which to proceed.

18 c. Defendant AFSCME has associated new counsel into the case as of May 10,
19 2013. *See* Docket No. 26. New counsel is located in Washington D.C., the location of
20 AFSCME's headquarters, and was associated in part to defend depositions of AFSCME
21 personnel at AFSCME headquarters. The parties have worked diligently together to
22 arrange and schedule the Washington depositions taking into account the calendars of all
23 concerned.

24 d. The requested modifications do not significantly extend the time limits set
25 forth in the Case Management Conference. They do not impact any other aspects of the
26 order, including pre-trial conference or trial dates.

1 e. Neither party would be prejudiced by the court's approval of the requested
2 modifications.

3 f. The parties have requested no previous modifications to the Case
4 Management Order, nor continuances of any other dates in this matter.
5

6 3. The requested modifications are:

7 a. With respect to paragraph B of the Case Management Order: Modify due
8 date for filing dispositive motions from June 27, 2013, to July 11, 2013; hearing on
9 dispositive motions to be scheduled August 8, 2013, or such subsequent date as the court
10 may determine (if the hearing is scheduled for August 8 the parties have agreed to a
11 modified briefing schedule whereby the reply brief would be filed July 25, 2013, such that
12 the briefing will be completed two weeks prior to the hearing as contemplated by Civil
13 Local Rules 56-1 and 7-3(c));

14 b. With respect to paragraph C of the Case Management Order: Modify
15 discovery cutoff date generally to June 21, 2013, and with respect to expert depositions to
16 July 10, 2013.
17
18

19 Respectfully submitted,

20
21 Dated: May 31, 2013

22 s/ Richard Johnston
23 Richard Johnston
Attorney for Plaintiff

Bredhoff & Kaiser, PLLC
s/Abigail Carter
By: Abigail Carter
Attorneys for Defendant

1 ~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER

2 Upon the parties' stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, it is ordered
3 that the Case Management Order entered herein on November 26, 2012, be modified in
4 the following respects:
5

6 a. Paragraph B of the Case Management Order is modified such that the last
7 day to file dispositive motions shall be July 11, 2013; and the hearing date for dispositive
8 motions shall be ~~August 8, 2013~~ [August 15, 2013.] The parties shall agree to a
9 briefing schedule so that all briefing is complete and submitted to the court no less than
10 two weeks prior to the assigned hearing date.
11

12 b. Paragraph C of the Case Management Order is modified such that the
13 discovery cutoff date shall be June 21, 2013, and with respect to expert depositions shall
14 be July 10, 2013.
15

16 Dated: June 5, 2013, 2013
17



18
19 _____
20 Maria-Elena James
21 United States Magistrate Judge
22
23
24
25
26
27
28