

**FILED**  
 JUN 05 2013  
 RICHARD W. WIEKING  
 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ST. PAUL FIRE AND MARINE  
 INSURANCE COMPANY,  
 Plaintiff,  
 v.  
 ADMIRAL INSURANCE COMPANY, et  
 al.,  
 Defendants.

Case No. 12-cv-04160-JST

**SCHEDULING ORDER**

The Court hereby sets the following case deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Civil Local Rule 16-10:

Event	Deadline
Fact discovery cut-off	2/3/14
Expert disclosures	2/3/14
Expert rebuttal	3/3/14
Expert discovery cut-off	4/1/14
Deadline to file dispositive motions	4/25/14
Pretrial conference statement due	7/8/14
Pretrial conference	7/18/14 at 2:00 p.m.
Trial	8/4/14 at 8:30 a.m.
Estimate of trial length (in days)	4

United States District Court  
 Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Counsel may not modify these dates without leave of court. The parties shall comply with the Court's standing orders, which are available at [cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders](http://cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders).

The parties must take all necessary steps to conduct discovery, compel discovery, hire counsel, retain experts, and manage their calendars so that they can complete discovery in a timely manner and appear at trial on the noticed and scheduled dates. All counsel must arrange their calendars to accommodate these dates, or arrange to substitute or associate in counsel who can.

Trial dates set by this Court should be regarded as firm. Requests for continuance are disfavored. The Court will not consider any event subsequently scheduled by a party, party-controlled witness, expert or attorney that conflicts with the above trial date as good cause to grant a continuance. The Court will not consider the pendency of settlement discussions as good cause to grant a continuance.

Dated: June 5, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JON S. TIGAR  
United States District Judge