

1 KEITH R. SCHIRMER, Esq. (087691)
2 JULIA LEVITSKAIA, Esq. (286042)
3 EDRINGTON, SCHIRMER & MURPHY LLP
4 2300 Contra Costa Boulevard, Suite 450
5 Pleasant Hill, CA 94523-3936
6 Telephone: (925) 827-3300
7 Facsimile: (925) 827-3320
8 *Attorneys for Defendant STEPHEN TANABE*

9 Brian Gearing, Esq.
10 R. Stephen M. Laroe, Esq.
11 GEARINGER LAW GROUP
12 825 Van Ness Avenue, 4th Floor
13 San Francisco, CA 94109-7847
14 Telephone: (415) 440-3102
15 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

16 Andrew C. Schwartz, Esq.
17 LAW OFFICES OF CASPER, MEADOWS,
18 SCHWARTZ & COOK
19 2121 N. California Blvd., Suite 1020
20 Walnut Creek, CA 94596-7333
21 Telephone: 925-947-1147
22 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
24 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

25 HASAN ARDA AKSU,)	
26)	CASE NO.: C12-4268 CRB
27 Plaintiff,)	Order
28)	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER
29 vs.)	CHANGING TIME OF BRIEFING
30)	SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANT
31 COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA,)	TANABE'S MOTION FOR
32 SUZANNE PORTER, TERRENCE)	PROTECTIVE ORDER
33 THOMPSON, CHRISTOPHER BUTLER,)	
34 BENNY CHETCUTI, JR., STEPHEN)	Date: October 10, 2014
35 TANABE, SHERIFF DAVID LIVINGSTON)	Time: 10:00 a.m.
36 and DOES ONE to FIFTY, inclusive,)	Location: Courtroom 6
37)	450 Golden Gate Avenue
38 Defendants.)	San Francisco, CA
39)	Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer
40)	

41 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, the parties, plaintiff HASAN ARDA AKSU, and
42 defendant STEPHEN TANABE, by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate that
43 plaintiff's time to file their opposition to defendant's Motion for Protective Order Restricting
44

1 Disclosure of Confidential, Private and Sensitive Information (re-filed on August 19, 2014)
2 currently due September 2, 2014, is hereby extended to September 19, 2014; and, defendant's
3 reply to plaintiff's opposition is likewise extended to be due September 26, 2014, for the
4 following reason: parties are presently engaged in efforts to resolve their discovery dispute by
5 stipulation. Granting an extension of time for the parties to file their responsive briefs will
6 provide the parties additional time to review the records in dispute and determine whether a
7 stipulation regarding the records' confidentiality may be reached, if at all. The proposed
8 extension of time will not alter this court's hearing date on defendant's Motion for Protective
9 Order Restricting Disclosure of Confidential, Private and Sensitive Information if no stipulation
10 is reached.

11 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

12 DATED: August 22, 2014

EDRINGTON, SCHIRMER & MURPHY LLP

13
14 /s/

Keith R. Schirmer

16
17 DATED: August 22, 2014

GEARINGER LAW GROUP

18
19 /s/

Brian Gearing

20
21 DATED: August 22, 2014

LAW OFFICES OF CASPER, MEADOWS,
SCHWARTZ & COOK

22
23 /s/

Andrew C. Schwartz

24
25
26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 Date: August 25, 2014

28

JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT