DSSDR, LLC	et al v. Zenith Infotech Ltd et al			
	Case3:12-cv-04336-JSW Docur	ment25 F	Filed10/12/12	Page1 of 4
	PAUL J. STEINER, ESQ. (SBN 41117)			
1	LAW OFFICES OF PAUL J. STEINER			
2	550 California Street			
	Sacramento Tower, Suite 700			
3	San Francisco, California 94104			
4	Telephone: (415) 981-6100 Facsimile: (415) 984-0950			
	1 acsimile. (413) 704-0730			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
6	DSSDR, LLC and			
_	ANDREW G. BENSINGER			
7				
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRIC	CT COURT	
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
9	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
10				
1.1	DSSDR, LLC, a Florida Corporation; and	Case No	o.: CV 12-4336	JSW
11	ANDREW G. BENSINGER, an Individual,			
12			LATION FOR	
12	Plaintiffs,			PLY SCHEDULES
13	NG.	` ′	MOTIONS BY	ASH SARAF TO
14	vs.		SS AND TO DI	
1.5	ZENITH INFOTECH LTD, an Indian,			ANSFER CASE TO
15	Corporation; AKASH SARAF, an			AND (2) MOTION
16	Individual; CONTINUUM MANAGED		/	ICHAEL GEORGE
17	SERVICES, LLC, a Delaware Corporation;		UMMIT PART	NERS TO
17	fka ZENITH RMM; MICHAEL GEORGE, an Individual; SUMMIT PARTNERS, L.P.,	TRANS	SFER VENUE an	.A
18	a Delaware Limited Partnership; and,		ORD	
10	DOES 1 through 200, inclusive,			
19	, ,	Date:	December 14	l, 2012
20	Defendants.	Time:	9:00 AM	
21		Dept:	Courtroom 1	
21		Judge:	Hon. Jeffrey	S. white
22				
23				
	STIPULATION			
24				
25	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs commenced this action in the Superior Court of California for the			
26	County of Alameda on August 6, 2012;			
27				
28	STIPULATION AND ORDER ON MOTIONS SET F	гор пеур	INC 12/14/12	
	DSSDR v. Zenith Infotech LTD, et al., Case No. C 12-043		11/14/12	Page
I	1			U

Doc. 27

WHEREAS, the matter was removed to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division on August 16, 2012;

WHEREAS, on August 29, 2012 plaintiffs and defendants Continuum Managed Services, LLC, Michael George and Summit Partners, L.P. (the "Continuum Defendants") entered into a stipulation extending the Continuum Defendants' time to move, answer or otherwise respond to the complaint;

WHEREAS, on September 5, 2012 plaintiffs and defendants Zenith Infotech and Akash Saraf (the "Infotech Defendants") entered into a stipulation extending the Infotech Defendants' time to move, answer or otherwise respond to the complaint;

WHEREAS, on October 4, 2012, the Infotech Defendants filed their motion to dismiss for improper venue pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(3) and 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a) or, alternatively, to transfer venue pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a) or, alternatively, to transfer venue pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) and, as to Akash Saraf, to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(2) (the "Infotech Motion"), which is set for hearing on December 14, 2012;

WHEREAS, on October 4, 2012, the Continuum Defendants filed their Motion to Transfer Venue Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404 (the "Continuum Transfer Motion"), which is set for hearing on December 14, 2012;

WHEREAS, on or before October 18, 2012 plaintiffs' opposition or other opposing papers are due to be filed in response to the Infotech Motion and the Continuum Transfer Motion,

WHEREAS, each of the motions is substantive and plaintiffs' counsel is scheduled to be out of state from October 11, 2012 returning to his office on October 22, 2012 and needs and has requested additional time within which to prepare and file appropriate responses and defendants are amenable, subject to Court approval, to an alteration of the schedule for opposition papers of plaintiffs and reply papers of defendants to be filed, as set forth below.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED, subject to approval by the Court, as follows:

1	1.	Plaintiffs shall file their opposition to the Infotech Motion by November 9, 2012;			
2	2.	Plaintiffs shall file their opposition to the Continuum Transfer Motion by			
3		November 12, 2012;			
4	3.	The Infotech Defendants shall file their reply papers in support of the Infotech			
5		Motion by November 30, 20	012;		
6	4.	The Continuum Defendants shall file their reply papers in support of the			
7		Continuum Transfer Motion	n by November 30, 2012.		
8					
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED.				
10					
11	DATED: O	ctober 12, 2012	LAW OFFICES OF PAUL J. STEINER		
12			By:/s/		
13			PAUL J. STEINER Attorneys for Plaintiffs DSSDR, LLC and		
14			ANDREW G. BENSINGER		
15	DATED O	. 1 . 12 . 2012	CORPON & REEGIN R		
16	DATED: O	ctober 12, 2012	GORDON & REES LLP		
17			By:/s/		
18			GORDON I. ENDOW Attorneys for Defendants		
19			ZENITH INFOTECH and AKASH SARAF		
20 21	DATED: O	ctober 12, 2012	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP		
22					
23			By: <u>/s/</u> RICHARD W. SLACK		
24			Attorneys for Defendants CONTINUUM		
25			MANAGED SERVICES, LLC, MICHAEL GEORGE and SUMMIT PARTNERS, L.P.		
26					
27					
28					

1 **ORDER** 2 Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing, IT IS ORDERED that 3 the opposing and reply paper due dates for the motions set for hearing on December 14, 2012 are 4 altered and reset as follows: Plaintiffs shall file their opposition to the Infotech Motion by November 9, 2012; 5 1. 2. Plaintiffs shall file their opposition to the Continuum Transfer Motion by 6 November $\frac{2}{12}$, 2012; 7 8 3. The Infotech Defendants shall file their reply papers in support of the Infotech 9 Motion by November 30, 2012; The Continuum Defendants shall file their reply papers in support of the 4. 10 Continuum Transfer Motion by November 30, 2012. 11 12 13 DATED: October <u>14</u> 2012 14 15 16 17 Northern District of California 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28