

1 COOLEY LLP
 MARK F. LAMBERT (197410)
 2 (mlambert@cooley.com)
 Five Palo Alto Square
 3 3000 El Camino Real
 Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155
 4 Telephone: (650) 843-5000
 Facsimile: (650) 849-7400
 5

6 FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON LLP
 Stephen S. Rabinowitz
 7 (srabinowitz@friedfrank.com)
 Randy Eisensmith (*pro hac vice*)
 8 (reisensmith@friedfrank.com)
 One New York Plaza
 9 New York, New York 10004
 Telephone: (212) 859-8000
 10 Facsimile: (212) 859-4000

11 Attorneys for Defendant
 ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS, INC.

12
 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 15 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17 CEPHEID,
 18 Plaintiff,
 19 v.
 20 ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS, INC.
 and F. HOFFMANN-LA ROCHE LTD.,
 21 Defendants.
 22

Case No. CV12-04411 (EMC)

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
 ORDER RE: FURTHER EXTENSION
 OF DEADLINE FOR DEFENDANT
 ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS,
 INC. TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE
 RESPOND TO FIRST AMENDED
 COMPLAINT [FRCP 15(A); C.L.R. 6-
 1(B); 6-2(A)]**

23
 24 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-1 and 6-2 and Rule 15(a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil
 25 Procedure (FRCP), this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order is entered into by and between Plaintiff
 26 Cepheid and Defendant Roche Molecular Systems, Inc. (“Roche Molecular”) by and through
 27 their respective counsel.
 28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

The deadline for Roche Molecular to answer or otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint is extended to and including November 9, 2012.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: October 31, 2012

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP

/s/ Erik R. Puknys
Erik R. Puknys (SBN 190926)
Attorneys for Plaintiff
CEPHEID

Dated: October 31, 2012

COOLEY LLP

/s/ Mark F. Lambert
Mark F. Lambert (SBN 197410)
Attorneys for Defendant
ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS, INC.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

FILER'S ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO L.R. 5-1(i)(3)

I, Mark F. Lambert, attest that concurrence in the filing of this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re: Further Extension of Deadline for Defendant Roche Molecular Systems, Inc. to Answer or Otherwise Respond to First Amended Complaint has been obtained from each of the other Signatories hereto.

Executed this 31st day of October, 2012, at Palo Alto, California.

/s/ Mark F. Lambert
Mark F. Lambert (SBN 197410)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing therefore;

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 11/1/12

