

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

No. C 12-04416 WHA

v.

**ORDER REQUIRING
SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING**


\$237,632.29 IN FUNDS SEIZED FROM
BANK OF AMERICA ACCT
#XXXXX52266; \$60,435.64 IN FUNDS
SEIZED FROM JPMORGAN CHASE
ACCT #XXXX26654,

Defendants.

On the assumption (not yet decided) that the government will be held to a motion under
FRCP 41(a)(2), both sides should file a supplemental brief with an appropriate declaration
explaining why the dismissal should or should not be without prejudice. The government should
also respond to claimant's request for attorney's fees. The supplemental briefs are due by **NOON**
on **NOVEMBER 7**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 31, 2012.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE