

1 ERIC A. GROVER, SBN 136080  
 2 JADE BUTMAN, SBN 235920  
 3 KELLER GROVER LLP  
 4 1965 Market Street  
 5 San Francisco, CA 94103  
 6 Tel: 415.543.1305  
 7 Fax: 415.543.7861  
 8 [egrover@kellergrover.com](mailto:egrover@kellergrover.com)  
 9 [jbutman@kellergrover.com](mailto:jbutman@kellergrover.com)

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 11 ROBERT SANTIAGO

12 ERIC MECKLEY, SBN 168181  
 13 KATHRYN M. NAZARIAN, SBN 259392  
 14 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
 15 One Market, Spear Street Tower  
 16 San Francisco, CA 94105-1126  
 17 Tel: 415.442.1000  
 18 Fax: 415.442.1001  
 19 [emeckley@morganlewis.com](mailto:emeckley@morganlewis.com)  
 20 [knazarian@morganlewis.com](mailto:knazarian@morganlewis.com)

21 Attorneys for Defendant  
 22 ARAMARK UNIFORM AND CAREER  
 23 APPAREL, LLC

24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

25 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

26 ROBERT SANTIAGO, on behalf of  
 27 himself and all others similarly situated,  
 28 and on behalf of the general public,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ARAMARK UNIFORM AND CAREER  
 APPAREL, LLC, and DOES 1 through 10,  
 inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. CV 12-4462 JSW

**FURTHER STIPULATION AND  
~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER TO MODIFY  
 BRIEFING SCHEDULE**

Complaint Filed: July 20, 2012

1  
2 Plaintiff Robert Santiago (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant ARAMARK Uniform & Career  
3 Apparel, LLC (“Defendant” or “AUCA”), by and through their respective undersigned counsel,  
4 hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 5 1. On December 21, 2012, Defendant filed a Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings  
6 and Motion to Dismiss pursuant to Rules 12(c) and 12(h)(3). Defendant’s motion  
7 is scheduled to be heard by the Court on February 8, 2013.
- 8 2. On January 2, 2013, the parties agreed to give each side additional time to file  
9 their respective opposition and reply papers in light of holiday schedules and  
10 attorney absences.
- 11 3. On January 3, 2013, the Court extended Plaintiff’s deadline to oppose the motion  
12 from January 4, 2013, to January 11, 2013; and extended Defendant’s deadline to  
13 reply to Plaintiff’s opposition to the motion from January 11, 2013, to January 25,  
14 2013.
- 15 4. Currently, the parties are in discussions regarding the dismissal of the lawsuit,  
16 which would obviate the need for the parties to file their respective opposition and  
17 reply papers, and thereby conserve the Court’s and the parties’ time and resources.
- 18 5. Accordingly, the parties have agreed to further extend Plaintiff’s deadline to  
19 oppose the motion from January 11, 2013, to January 18, 2013; Defendant’s  
20 deadline to reply to Plaintiff’s opposition to the motion will stay at January 25,  
21 2013.
- 22 6. Under the proposed extended briefing schedule, the Court will have the fully  
23 briefed motion by January 25, 2013, two weeks prior to the February 8, 2013  
24 hearing date.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Dated: January 11, 2013

KELLER GROVER LLP

By: /s/ Jade Butman  
Jade Butman  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
ROBERT SANTIAGO

Dated: January 11, 2013

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

By: /s/ Eric Meckley  
Eric Meckley  
Attorneys for Defendant  
ARAMARK UNIFORM & CAREER  
APPAREL, LLC


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

The Parties' further requested modification to the briefing schedule on Defendant's motion is hereby GRANTED. Plaintiff shall file his opposition on or before January 18, 2013. Defendant shall file its reply on or before January 25, 2013.

**PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: January 14, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
HON. JEFFREY S. WHITE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT