```
PHILIP M. MILLER (SBN 87877)
 1
   KIMBERLY A. HANCOCK (SBN 205567)
   ANNE M. BEVINGTON (SBN 111320)
   SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION
   44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2110
 3
   San Francisco, CA 94104
   Telephone: (415) 882-7900
   Facsimile: (415) 882-9287
    pmiller@sjlawcorp.com
 5
   khancock@sjlawcorp.com
   abevington@silawcorp.com
 6
                            UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 7
                     FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 8
   SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL
                                                  Case No.: 3:12-cv-4494-JST
    UNION NATIONAL INDUSTRY PENSION
   FUND; STEPHEN ABRECHT, Trustee, ROD
                                             )
                                                  JOINT STIPULATION FOR
10
                                                  VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL WITH
    BASHIR, Trustee; KEVIN J. DOYLE, Trustee;
11
   MYRIAM ESCAMILLA, Trustee; STEVEN W.)
                                                  PREJUDICE OF SOL HEALTHCARE,
    FORD, Trustee; EDWARD J. MANKO,
                                                  LLC, a California limited liability
12
   Trustee; FRANK A. MAXSON, Trustee; JOHN )
                                                  company, d/b/a CORE Healthcare
   J. SHERIDAN, Trustee; LARRY T. SMITH,
                                                  Centers; SOLNUS TWO, LLC, a
13
    Trustee; DAVID STILWELL, Trustee,
                                                  California limited liability company,
14
                                                  d/b/a Oakland Healthcare & Wellness
                Plaintiffs,
                                                  Center; SOLNUS THREE, LLC, a
15
                                                  California limited liability company,
          VS.
                                                  d/b/a/ Roseville Point Health & Wellness
16
                                                  Center; SOLNUS FOUR, LLC, a
   EMPLOYEE EQUITY ADMINISTRATION,
                                                  California limited liability company,
17
    INC., a California corporation, d/b/a Westline
                                                  d/b/a San Pablo Healthcare & Wellness
    Medical Management, et al.,
18
                                                  Center; SOLNUS EIGHT, LLC, a
                                                  California limited liability company,
          Defendants.
19
                                                  d/b/a The Rehabilitation Center of
                                                  Oakland; SOL MAJER; SHLOMO
20
                                                  RECHNITZ
21
22
                                     JOINT STIPULATION
23
          IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by Plaintiffs and Defendants SOL HEALTHCARE, LLC,
24
    a California limited liability company, d/b/a CORE Healthcare Centers; SOLNUS TWO, LLC, a
25
    California limited liability company, d/b/a Oakland Healthcare & Wellness Center; SOLNUS
26
    THREE, LLC, a California limited liability company, d/b/a/ Roseville Point Health & Wellness
27
```

28

Center; SOLNUS FOUR, LLC, a California limited liability company, d/b/a San Pablo

1	Healthcare & Wellness Center; SOLNUS EIGHT, LLC, a California limited liability company,
2	d/b/a The Rehabilitation Center of Oakland; SOL MAJER; and SHLOMO RECHNITZ,
3	(hereinafter, collectively, the "SOL Defendants"), through their respective counsel, that pursuant
4	to the Settlement Agreement between the Plaintiffs and the SOL Defendants, this Court dismiss
5	SOL HEALTHCARE, LLC, a California limited liability company, d/b/a CORE Healthcare
6	Centers; SOLNUS TWO, LLC, a California limited liability company, d/b/a Oakland Healthcare
7	& Wellness Center; SOLNUS THREE, LLC, a California limited liability company, d/b/a/
8	Roseville Point Health & Wellness Center; SOLNUS FOUR, LLC, a California limited liability
9	company, d/b/a San Pablo Healthcare & Wellness Center; SOLNUS EIGHT, LLC, a California
10	limited liability company, d/b/a The Rehabilitation Center of Oakland; SOL MAJER; and
11	SHLOMO RECHNITZ only from this action with prejudice, with all such parties to bear their
12	own costs and attorneys' fees, and retain jurisdiction over this matter to enforce the Settlement
13	Agreement if any action is required to enforce the Settlement Agreement after the dismissal with
14	prejudice is entered by this Court. See generally Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co. of America,
15	511 U.S. 357, 381 (1994); Hagestad v.Tragesser, 49 F.3d 1430, 1432 (9th Cir. 1995).
16	IT IS SO STIPULATED.
17	Dated: May 5, 2014 SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION
18	By: /s/ Kimberly A. Hancock
19	KIMBERLY A. HANCOCK Attorneys for Plaintiffs
20	
21	I, Kimberly A. Hancock, hereby attest, pursuant to N.D. Cal. General Order No. 45, that
22	the concurrence to the filing of this document has been obtained from each signatory hereto.
23	
24	Dated: May 5, 2014 JACKSON LEWIS P.C.
25	By: /s/ David R. Johanson
26	DAVID R. JOHANSON Attorneys for the SOL Defendants
27	

28

ORDER

Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiffs and the SOL Defendants, and good cause appearing:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that SOL HEALTHCARE, LLC, a California limited liability company, d/b/a CORE Healthcare Centers; SOLNUS TWO, LLC, a California limited liability company, d/b/a Oakland Healthcare & Wellness Center; SOLNUS THREE, LLC, a California limited liability company, d/b/a/ Roseville Point Health & Wellness Center; SOLNUS FOUR, LLC, a California limited liability company, d/b/a San Pablo Healthcare & Wellness Center; SOLNUS EIGHT, LLC, a California limited liability company, d/b/a The Rehabilitation Center of Oakland; SOL MAJER; and SHLOMO RECHNITZ only are dismissed from this action with prejudice, the parties to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Court shall expressly retain jurisdiction over this matter to enforce the Settlement Agreement if any action is required to enforce the Settlement Agreement after the dismissal with prejudice is entered by this Court. See generally Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co. of America, 511 U.S. 357, 381 (1994); Hagestad v. Tragesser, 49 F.3d

1430, 1432 (9th Cir. 1995).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 6, 2014

