

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Robert F. McCauley (SBN 162056)  
robert.mccauley@finnegan.com  
Tina E. Hulse (SBN 232936)  
tina.hulse@finnegan.com  
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP  
3300 Hillview Avenue  
Palo Alto, California 94304  
Tel: (650) 849-6600  
Fax: (650) 849-6666

Attorneys for Plaintiffs ASETEK HOLDINGS,  
INC. and ASETEK A/S

COOLEY LLP  
HEIDI L. KEEFE (178960)  
(hkeefe@cooley.com)  
DANIEL J. KNAUSS (267414)  
(dknauss@cooley.com)  
Five Palo Alto Square  
3000 El Camino Real  
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155  
Telephone: (650) 843-5000  
Facsimile: (650) 849-7400

DENNIS McCOOE  
(mccoee@blankrome.com)  
(admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
KATHERINE BARECCHIA  
(barecchia@blankrome.com)  
(admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
JOEL DION (dion-j@blankrome.com)  
(admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
BLANK ROME LLP  
One Logan Square  
130 North 18<sup>th</sup> Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
Telephone: (215) 569-5580  
Facsimile: (215) 832-5580

Attorneys for Defendant  
CoolIT Systems Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ASETEK HOLDINGS, INC. and ASETEK A/S,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
COOLIT SYSTEMS INC.,  
Defendant.

CASE NO. 3:12-CV-04498-EMC

**STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER  
CHANGING TIME TO RESPOND TO  
DEFENDANT COOLIT SYSTEMS, INC.'S  
MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT [DKT. NO. 93]; [PROPOSED]  
ORDER**

1 On August 2, 2013, Defendant CoolIT Systems, Inc. (“CoolIT”) filed a Motion for Partial  
2 Summary Judgment to Prevent Asetek’s Double Recovery of Damages and, in the Alternative, for  
3 Patent Exhaustion. Dkt. 93. CoolIT noticed the hearing for the motion for September 19, 2013.  
4 Plaintiffs Asetek Holdings, Inc. and Asetek A/S’s (collectively, “Asetek”) opposition is currently  
5 due on August 16, 2013.

6 Asetek intends to submit a declaration in support of its opposition, but the declarant is  
7 currently traveling abroad this week. On August 12, 2013, Asetek’s counsel requested a one-week  
8 extension to respond to CoolIT’s motion. Counsel for CoolIT agreed. Pursuant to Civil Local Rules  
9 6-2(a) and 7-12, the parties, through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate to a request  
10 for an order extending the time for Asetek to respond to CoolIT’s motion by one week, from August  
11 16, 2013, up to and including August 23, 2013. No changes to the noticed hearing date are  
12 necessary in light of this requested extension.

13 By his signature below, counsel for Plaintiffs attests that counsel for Defendant concurs in  
14 the filing of this document.

15  
16 Dated: August 13, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

17 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
18 GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP

19 By: /s/ Robert F. McCauley  
20 Robert F. McCauley

21 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
22 Asetek A/S and Asetek Holdings, Inc.

23 Dated: August 13, 2013

COOLEY LLP

24 By: /s/ Daniel J. Knauss  
25 Daniel J. Knauss

26 Attorneys for Defendant  
27 CoolIT Systems Inc.  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 13, 2013

