

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 DATATECH ENTERPRISES LLC,

No. C 12-04500 CRB

12 Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
CONTINUANCE AND STAY**

13 v.

14 FF MAGNAT LIMITED ET AL.,

15 Defendants.
16 _____/

17 Defendant FF Magnat Limited (“Magnat”) moved to dismiss Plaintiff’s complaint for
18 lack of personal jurisdiction. See dkt. 64. That motion is set for hearing March 8, 2013,
19 along with three other pending motions in the above-captioned matter. See dkts. 66, 70, 77.
20 Magnat has now filed an “Emergency Motion for Continuance and to Stay Time to File
21 Reply Brief,” arguing that the parties would benefit from more time to work out discovery
22 disputes before the reply is filed and the motion is heard See dkt. 86.

23 Having considered the papers submitted by both sides, the Court does not find that
24 Magnat has shown good cause for a continuance in light of the nature of its jurisdictional
25 motion and the lack of a sufficient showing that the Court’s resolution of the motion will
26 depend on outstanding discovery. The Court DENIES Magnat’s motion without prejudice to

27 //

28 //

its ability to further argue the relevance of any outstanding discovery at the hearing on the jurisdictional motion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 2, 2013



CHARLES R. BREYER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE