

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MATTHEW THOMAS CASTRO,
Plaintiff,

No. C 12-04621 WHA

v.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE


WELLS FARGO BANK N.A.,
Defendant.

On September 12, 2012, defendant Wells Fargo Bank N.A. filed a motion to dismiss plaintiff's complaint. Upon reassignment of the action to the undersigned judge, defendant re-noticed the motion on September 19. Pursuant to Local Rules 7-3 and 7-7, plaintiff's opposition was due by September 26. Given that the case was reassigned, and the motion was re-noticed, plaintiff was given until October 3 to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition. That date has passed, and no response has been received.

Plaintiff is hereby **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE** why defendant's motion to dismiss should not be granted for failure to respond. Plaintiff's response is due by **NOON ON OCTOBER 24**. Failure to timely respond may result in dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 10, 2012.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California