1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13			
14	EMECO INDUSTRIES, INC.,	Case No. 3:12-cv-5072 MMC	
15	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR	
16	V.	ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF TO FILE SURREPLY	
17	RESTORATION HARDWARE, INC., GARY FRIEDMAN, and Does 1-10,		
18	Defendants.		
19	DOTOINUINS.		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO F CASE NO. 3:12-CV-5072 MMC sf-3226329	ILE SURREPLY	1

	Defendants have	
1 2	Plaintiff filed a motion for administrative relief seeking leave to file a surreply to respond Plaintiff has filed opposition thereto. The Court having read and considered said submissions, to criticisms of the survey of Mr. Hal Poret that Defendants submitted in opposition to the	
3	preliminary injunction motion and purported misstatements of fact in Plaintiff's reply.	
4	Defendants' motion is GRANTED. The Court will consider the proposed surreply filed as	
5	Exhibit 1 and the supplemental declaration filed as Exhibit 2 to Defendants' motion for	
6	administrative relief, as well as the arguments set forth in plaintiff's response thereto.	
7		
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9	ALL MARIE MARINE	
10	Dated: December 12, 2012 Maline M. Chesney	
11	United States District Judge	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	[Proposed] Order Granting Defendants' Motion to File Surreply Case No. 3:12-cv-5072 MMC sf-3226329	