27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	IN THE UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT COURT
8		
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	BLAMOH T. TWEGBE, ET AL.,	No. 3:12-cv-05080-CRB
12 13	Plaintiffs, v.	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT LEAVE TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS' LATE-FILED EVIDENCE
14	PHARMACA INTEGRATIVE PHARMACY,	
15 16	INC., Defendant.	
17	The Court is in receipt of Defendant Pharmaca Integrative Pharmacy, Inc.'s objection	
18	to late-filed evidence (dkt. 88). The Court GRANTS Defendant leave to respond by March	
19	11, 2015, to the evidence Plaintiffs filed for the first time in their reply in support of class	
20	certification. See Provenz v. Miller, 102 F.3d 1478, 1483 (9th Cir. 1996). Plaintiffs may not	
21	file a subsequent sur-reply. The Court takes note of Plaintiffs' repeated failure to comply	
22	with deadlines and procedural rules and expects full compliance in the future.	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24		
2526	Dated: March 4, 2015	CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE