IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSE U. PADILLA, et al,) No. C 12-5117 JSW (PR)
Petitioner,) ORDER OF DISMISSAL
v.) ORDER OF DISVISSAL
UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, et al,	(Docket No. 3)
Respondents.	

Petitioner, a detainee at the Contra Costa County Jail, has filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 and a motion seeking leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

Although the petition is largely incomprehensible, it is apparent that Petitioner's claims do not involve the fact or duration of his confinement, hence are not the proper subject of a habeas action. *See Moran v. Sondalle*, 218 F.3d 647, 650-52 (7th Cir. 2000); *Badea v. Cox*, 931 F.2d 573, 574 (9th Cir. 1991) (civil rights action is proper method of challenging conditions of confinement); *Crawford v. Bell*, 599 F.2d 890, 891-92 & n.1 (9th Cir. 1979) (affirming dismissal of habeas petition on basis that challenges to terms and conditions of confinement must be brought in civil rights complaint).

A district court may construe a habeas petition attacking conditions of confinement as a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. *See Wilwording v. Swenson*, 404 U.S. 249, 251 (1971). However, although the court may construe a habeas petition as a civil rights action, it is not required to do so. Since the *Wilwording* case was decided there have been significant changes in the law. For instance, the filing fee for a habeas petition

is five dollars, and if leave to proceed in forma pauperis is granted, the fee is forgiven. For civil rights cases, however, the fee is \$350.00 and under the Prisoner Litigation Reform Act the prisoner is required to pay it, even if granted in forma pauperis status, by way of deductions from his or her prisoner account. In view of this, this case is DISMISSED without prejudice to petitioner filing a civil rights action if he wishes to do so in light of the above. However, if Petitioner chooses to file such a complaint, he must clearly state the nature of his claims and identify against whom his complaints are made.

Petitioner's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (docket no. 3) is GRANTED. The Clerk shall close the file and enter judgment. IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: November 5, 2012

United States District Judge

28

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE 3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 JOSE ULYSSESE PADILLA JR, Case Number: CV12-05117 JSW 6 Plaintiff, **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 7 v. 8 US GOVERNMENT et al, 9 Defendant. 10 11 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California. 12 13 That on November 5, 2012, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office 14 delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office. 15 16 Jose Ulysses Padilla 17 901 Court Street #CC12EJ405 18 Martinez, CA 94553 ennyer Ottoliki 19 Dated: November 5, 2012 20 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk 21 22 23 24 25 26 27