1 MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney THOMAS MOORE (ALBN 4305-078T) Chief, Tax Division MICHAEL G. PITMAN (DCBN 484164) 3 Assistant United States Attorney, Tax Division 450 Golden Gate Ave., Box 36055 San Francisco, CA 94102 5 Telephone: (415) 436-6475 Facsimile: (415) 436-7009 Email: michael.pitman@usdoj.gov 6 7 Attorneys for the United States of America 8 9 10 United States of America. 11 Petitioner. 12

RECEIVED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

Carono. 12

5175

[PROPOSED] ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE SUMMONS SHOULD NOT v. BE ENFORCED

Joseph R. Snider,

Respondent.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

13

14

15

Upon consideration of the United States' Petition to Enforce Internal Revenue Summons and the Declaration in support thereof, the Court finds that the United States has established a prima facie case under United States v. Powell, 379 U.S. 48 (1964) for enforcement of the Internal Revenue Service summons at issue. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent Joseph R. Snider appear before the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge, on the 6th day of December . 2012, at 10:00a.m. /p.m., in Courtroom No. \_\_\_\_, 15th Floor, United States District Court, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California, and then and there show cause, if any, why Respondent should not be compelled to appear and provide

[PROPOSED] ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE SUMMONS SHOULD NOT BE ENFORCED

documents and testimony as required by the summons.

## It is further ORDERED that:

- A copy of this Order, together with the Petition to Enforce Internal Revenue
   Service Summons and supporting papers, shall be served upon Respondent in accordance with
   Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure at least thirty-five days before the date set for the show-cause hearing;
- 2. Since the Petition to Enforce Internal Revenue Summons and supporting papers make a *prima facie* showing that the IRS investigation is being conducted for a legitimate purpose, that the inquiry may be relevant to that purpose, that the information sought is not already within the Commissioner's possession, and that the administrative steps required by the Internal Revenue Code have been followed, *see United States v. Powell*, 379 U.S. 48 (1964), the burden has shifted to Respondent to oppose enforcement of the summons;
- 3. If Respondent has any defense to present or opposition to the Petition, such defense or opposition shall be made in writing, filed with the Clerk and served on counsel for the United States, at least 21 days prior to the date set for the show-cause hearing. The United States may file a reply memorandum to any opposition at least 5 court days prior to the date set for the show-cause hearing.
- 4. At the show-cause hearing, the Court will consider all issues raised by Respondent. Only those issues brought into controversy by the responsive pleadings and supported by an affidavit or declaration will be considered. Any uncontested allegation in the Petition will be considered admitted.

ORDERED this 19th day of October , 2012.

UNITED ST. IT IS SO ORDERED JUDGE

IT IS SO ORDERED JUDGE

Judge Maria-Elena James OF Children and Control of Children and Children

[PROPOSED] ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE SUMMONS SHOULD NOT BE ENFORCED