

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
7

8 STEVE DALE PERCELLE,

No. C -12-05343 TEH (EDL)

9 Plaintiff,

**ORDER ON MOTION TO MAINTAIN  
REDACTIONS (DKT. 78)**

10 v.

11 S. PEARSON, et al.,

12 Defendants.  
13 \_\_\_\_\_/

14 Defendants brought this motion to maintain redactions and confidentiality designations in  
15 documents they produced to Plaintiff bearing bates numbers AG000020-159 (“Gang Validation  
16 Package”). On July 8, 2014, the Court held a hearing at which Defendants agreed to, and the Court  
17 ordered, the production to Plaintiff of the names of the author and reviewer of the debriefing report  
18 contained within the Gang Validation Package, pursuant to the designation of “CONFIDENTIAL -  
19 ATTORNEY’S EYES ONLY.” The Court also ordered further briefing from both Parties. (Dkt.  
20 106.)

21 Upon consideration of all the briefing submitted to the Court, oral argument, and in-camera  
22 review of the Gang Validation Package, the Court finds that Defendants’ redactions to the Gang  
23 Validation Package are proper because they are necessary to safeguard the identity of the  
24 confidential informant and other individuals referenced in the document. (See Dkt. 77 (stipulated  
25 protective order requiring the redaction of “information of all non-Plaintiff inmates or parolees”).)  
26 As Defendants persuasively argue, the disclosure of this information could jeopardize the safety of  
27 these individuals. In so holding, the Court “in no way suggests that Plaintiffs’ counsel would reveal  
28 the identity of these individuals to their client. The [C]ourt only recognizes that accidental  
disclosures can happen and that the identity of these persons must be afforded the greatest

1 protection.” Ashker v. Cate, No. 09-CV-5796 CW (NJV), 2013 WL 4026971, at \*3 (N.D. Cal. July  
2 12, 2013).

3 Defendants’ motion is hereby GRANTED. Defendants’ redactions to documents bearing  
4 bates numbers AG000020-159 shall be maintained. Defendants’ designation of document  
5 AG000030 as “Confidential” shall be maintained. Finally, Defendants’ designation of documents  
6 AG000033-159 as “CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEY’S EYES ONLY” shall be maintained.

7

8 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9

10 Dated: July 28, 2014

11

  
ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE  
United States Chief Magistrate Judge

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28