## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3

1

2

4

5

STEVEN DALE PERCELLE,

STEVEN PEARSON, et al.,

v.

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

6

7

8

9

1011

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28

Case No. 12-cv-05343-TEH

ORDER RE: TESTIMONY OF BILL JORDAN, STEVEN MAUGHMER, JULIENNE STENNER, JENNIFER NYGAARD, AND CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS FOR SANTA CLARA HOSPITAL SYSTEM

At the November 28, 2016 pretrial conference hearing, this Court heard oral argument on Defendants' objections to a number of Plaintiff's proposed trial witnesses. On November 30, 2016, the Court received a list of questions that Plaintiff's counsel intends to ask these witnesses should he decide to call them at trial. Having carefully considered the parties' written and oral arguments, the Court overrules Defendants' objections and rules the witnesses' testimony admissible with the exceptions listed below.

Bill Jordan is a CDCR-designated witness who will provide testimony on prison procedures. Defendants object to his testimony as irrelevant and cumulative. Defendants' objections are hereby overruled. The proposed testimony is relevant to Plaintiff's First Amendment retaliation claim, except portions concerning a plan to reduce the number of inmates housed in administrative segregation.

Steven Maughmer is a correctional officer supervisor who played a limited role in validating Plaintiff as a gang member. Defendants object to his testimony as irrelevant and cumulative. Defendants' objections are hereby overruled. The Court finds that Mr. Maughmer's proposed testimony is relevant and its probative value is not substantially outweighed by a danger of presenting cumulative evidence.

Julienne Stenner is a former CDCR librarian who will testify about her knowledge of Plaintiff as a regular library user. Defendants object to her testimony as irrelevant and

Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

cumulative. Defendants' objections are hereby overruled. The Court finds that Ms. Stenner's proposed testimony is relevant and its probative value is not substantially outweighed by a danger of presenting cumulative evidence.

Jennifer Nygaard is an Assistant Attorney General who testify about notices to CDCR and to Defendants regarding Plaintiff's negligent medical care lawsuit. Defendants object to her testimony as irrelevant and cumulative. Defendants' objections are hereby overruled. The Court finds that Ms. Stenner's proposed testimony is relevant and its probative value is not substantially outweighed by a danger of presenting cumulative evidence.

Lastly, the Court overrules Defendants' objection to the recently disclosed medical records from Santa Clara Health and Hospital System. As stated in the October 31, 2016 Order on Pretrial Motions, evidence of Plaintiff's mental health treatment received since July 20, 2015 is relevant to damages. These medical records will be admitted at trial, along with earlier documents that Defendants already stipulated to. A custodian of records is not needed for authentication purposes and will not be allowed to testify at trial.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 12/01/16

United States District Judge