1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12	MICHELLE LOLL individually and an habalf	Case No. 12 ev 05400 WILLA (NC)
13	MICHELLE LOU, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. 12-cv-05409 WHA (NC)
14	Plaintiffs,	ORDER RESOLVING VARIOUS DISCOVERY DISPUTES
15	v.	Re: Dkt. No. 237, 241
16	MA LABORATORIES INC., ABRAHAM MA, CHRISTINE RAO, CHRISTY YEE,	
17	Defendant.	
18	Defendant.	
19		
20	This order summarizes the Court's rulings on various discovery disputes presented	
21	on the eve of class certification briefing in this employment case. On August 28, 2013, the	
22	Court ordered the parties to submit a joint discovery status report by September 11, 2013.	
23	Dkt. No. 227. The parties responded with competing reports merged into a single	
24	document. Dkt. No. 233. Defendants then filed a supplement two days after the deadline	
25	without requesting leave to do so. Dkt. No. 235. On September 16, 2013, the parties filed a	
26	joint letter brief outlining their respective positions on a dispute over whether Defendants	
27	properly issued subpoenas to certain third parties. Dkt. No. 237. Two days later, the parties	
28	filed an additional letter brief discussing their dispute over the future use of subpoenas on	
	Case No. 12-cv-05409 WHA (NC) ORDER RESOLVING VARIOUS DISCOVERY DISPUTES	

1	order separately.	
2	Any party may object to this order within fourteen days. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a).	
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5	Date: September 24, 2013	A los to assessment to the second
6		Nothangel M. Cousing
7		Nathanael M. Cousins United States Magistrate Judge
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case No. 12-cv-05409 WHA (NC) ORDER RESOLVING VARIOUS DISCOVERY DISPUTES