

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6

7 CHRISTOPHER OTEY,

No. C 12-5524 JST (MEJ)

8 Plaintiff,

DISCOVERY ORDER
RE: DKT. NO. 117

9 v.

10 CROWDFLOWER, INC., et al.,

11 Defendants.
12 _____/

13 Before the Court is the parties' joint discovery dispute letter, filed June 14, 2013. Dkt. No.
14 117. The letter concerns CrowdFlower's requests for admissions, which CrowdFlower states all
15 generally relate to Plaintiff's work for other Requesters and employers and the degree to which he
16 was performing work for and being paid for (in terms of percentages) tasks performed for
17 CrowdFlower as compared to other Requesters and employers. *Id.* at 4. For the reasons stated in the
18 Court's April 11, 2013 Order, Plaintiff's other employment or receipt of other income is irrelevant to
19 the question of whether Plaintiff was Defendants' employee or whether he was an independent
20 contractor. *See* Order at 2:19-3:7, Dkt. No. 85. Accordingly, Defendants' discovery requests
21 seeking information about other employment are DENIED because they are not likely to lead to the
22 discovery of relevant evidence.

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED**
24

25 Dated: June 24, 2013

26 
27 _____
28 Maria-Elena James
United States Magistrate Judge