1		
1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	EDUARDO ENRIQUE ALEGRETT,	Case No. <u>12-cv-05538-MEJ</u>
8	Plaintiff,	
9	v.	ORDER RE: STATEMENT OF FACTS
10	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.,	
11	Defendants.	
12		
13	On December 3, 2013, Defendants filed a Motion for Summary Judgment, (Dkt. No. 43),	
14	but failed to file a statement of facts in compliance with the August 28, 2013 Notice Re: Summary	
15	Judgment Statement of Facts (Dkt. No. 32). Pursuant to August 28 Notice, all summary judgment	
16	motions must comply with the following requirements:	
17		
18	(1) Separate Statement of Facts. Any party filing a motion for summary judgment must file a statement, separate from the motion and memorandum of law, setting forth each material fact on which the party relies in support of the motion. The separate statement should include only those facts that the Court needs to decide the motion. Other undisputed facts (such as those providing background about the action or the parties) may be included in the memorandum of law, but should not be included in the separate statement of facts. Each material fact in the separate statement must be set forth in a separately numbered paragraph and must refer to a specific admissible portion of the record where the fact finds support (for example, affidavit, deposition, discovery response, etc.). A failure to submit a separate	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	statement of facts in this form ma	y constitute grounds for the denial of the motion.
24	(2) Controverting Statement of Facts. Any party opposing a motion for summary judgment must file a statement, separate from that party's memorandum of law,	
25	setting forth: (a) for each paragraph of the moving party's separate statement of	
26	facts, a correspondingly numbered paragraph indicating whether the party disputes the statement of fact set forth in that paragraph and a reference to the specific	
27	admissible portion of the record supporting the party's position if the fact is disputed; and (b) any additional facts that establish a genuine issue of material fact	
28	or otherwise preclude judgment in favor of the moving party. Each additional fact	

United States District Court Northern District of California

must be set forth in a separately numbered paragraph and must refer to a specific 1 admissible portion of the record where the fact finds support. 2 (3) **Reply Statement of Facts.** If the party opposing summary judgment sets forth additional facts, the moving party shall file a statement, separate from that's party 3 reply brief, with correspondingly numbered paragraphs indicating whether the party 4 admits or disputes the statement of fact set forth in that paragraph and, if disputed, a reference to the specific admissible portion of the record supporting the party's 5 position. Facts that are not already included in the motion and/or opposition are not permitted. 6 (4) Alternative Procedure. As an alternative to filing a statement of facts and 7 controverting statement of facts, the movant and the party opposing the motion may 8 jointly file a stipulation signed by the parties setting forth a statement of the stipulated facts if the parties agree there is no genuine issue of any material fact. As 9 to any stipulated facts, the parties so stipulating may state that their stipulations are entered into only for the purpose of the motion for summary judgment and are not 10 intended to be otherwise binding. 11 As Defendants failed to file a separate statement of facts in compliance with the 12 requirements above, the Court hereby ORDERS Defendants to file a statement by December 9, 13 2013. Plaintiff shall file his Opposition and any Controverting Statement of Facts by December 14 23, 2013. Defendants shall file their Reply and any Reply Statement of Facts by December 30, 15 2013. 16 **IT IS SO ORDERED.** 17 18 Dated: December 4, 2013 19 20 MARIA-ELENA JAMES 21 United States Magistrate Judge 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

United States District Court Northern District of California