

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAM PICH,

Plaintiff,

No. C 12-05626 JSW

v.

MICHAEL ASTRUE, Commissioner of Social
Security,

Defendant.

**ORDER DIRECTING PARTIES
TO INFORM COURT WHETHER
THEY CONSENT TO
MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR ALL
PURPOSES**

In cases initially assigned to a district judge, the parties may consent at any time to reassignment of the case to a magistrate judge for all purposes, including entry of final judgment. See Civil L.R. 73-1(b). Plaintiff has already indicated his consent. Defendant is hereby DIRECTED to advise the Court, no later than February 8, 2013, as to whether it consents to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in the instant action.¹ For the parties' convenience, consent forms are available at <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>, in the

///
///
///
///
///

¹ Normally, the Court would direct the parties to so inform the Court in their joint case management statement filed in connection with a case management conference. Because the instant action involves a review of an administrative record, however, a case management conference has not been scheduled.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

“Forms” section. The parties are further advised that they may jointly request assignment to a specific magistrate judge.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 30, 2013



JEFFREY S. WHITE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE