concern substantially the same parties, property, transaction or event; and (2) It appears likely that
there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the
cases are conducted before different Judges." N.D. Civ. L.R. 3-12(a). Both this action and the action
to be related (American International Group, Inc. v. Golden Gate National Parks Conservancy, 13-
5568) concern the same underlying personal injury incident, and the resulting dispute as to Golden
Gate National Parks Conservancy's ("the Conservancy") liability for its alleged failure to name
Alcatraz as an additional insured. Thus, both actions concern substantially the same parties, property,
transaction, or event. Further, if the cases are conducted before different judges, there will likely be
an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense given the similarities between the cases.

Although the Conservancy filed an opposition to the motion in the 13-5568 action, it did not file the opposition in this action—the lower-numbered action—as required by the Local Rules. *See* N.D. Cal. L.R. 3-12(e) ("Any opposition to or support of a Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related must be filed in the earliest filed case.") In any event, the objection is unpersuasive as the Conservancy's argument—that the complaint in the 13-5568 action fails to state a claim—is irrelevant to the standard for related cases under Local Rule 3-12.

Alcatraz's motion to relate cases is accordingly GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 28, 2014

JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE