

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
6 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

7
8 NEXTDOOR.COM, INC.,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 RAJ ABHYANKER,

12 Defendant.

Case No. 12-cv-05667 EMC (NC)

**ORDER REQUESTING
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
FROM DEFENDANT**

Re: Dkt. No. 328

13
14
15 Upon review of the discovery letter brief filed September 9, 2014, concerning expert
16 discovery, the Court requests the following supplemental information from defendant:

- 17 1. Has the defendant produced to plaintiff all the tax returns ordered produced on
18 August 2, 2014, in docket entry 289? If so, when. If there is any dispute about
19 compliance, describe the disputed issues.
- 20 2. Identify the controlling or most persuasive authorities standing for the
21 proposition that when an expert testifying witness considers a party's tax
22 returns in formulating the expert's report, the party or expert do not have to
23 disclose the tax returns under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B)(ii).

24 Defendant's response, limited to two pages, is due September 12 at 4:00 p.m.

25 Date: September 10, 2014

26 

27 Nathanael M. Cousins
28 United States Magistrate Judge

Case No. 12-cv-05667 EMC (NC)
ORDER REQUESTING MORE
INFORMATION