

**United States District Court**  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBIN LOVE,  
Plaintiff(s),  
v.  
THE PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP,  
Defendant(s).

No. C-12-05679 DMR

**ORDER FOR SUPPLEMENTAL  
BRIEFING FOR JOINT DISCOVERY  
LETTER [DOCKET NO. 58]**

Before the court is the parties' joint discovery letter. [Docket No. 58.] The court hereby orders Plaintiff and Defendants to each submit by no later than **10 a.m. on August 5, 2013** supplemental briefing on the following issues: (1) whether federal privilege law applies to pendent state law claims in federal question cases, especially if the information sought is relevant only to the pendent state law claims; (2) whether the information over which Defendants assert peer review privilege is relevant *only* to pendent state law claims; and (3), assuming *arguendo* that state privilege law applies, whether the information over which Defendants assert peer review privilege actually falls within California's peer review privilege. Each brief is not to exceed **five double-spaced** pages. The parties should specifically address the Ninth Circuit's holding in *Agster v. Maricopa County*, 422 F.3d 836 (9th Cir. 2005) and any relevant subsequent case law in the Ninth Circuit.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 30, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DONNA M. RYU  
United States Magistrate Judge