

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ARMANDO QUANT,
Plaintiff,

No. C 12-5736 CRB

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

v.

PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA,
Defendant.

Petitioner, who claims he is in the custody of the California Department of Corrections on account of a 2009 conviction and sentence, has filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus, 28 U.S.C. section 2254, challenging a 2000 California state conviction he says was used as a predicate to enhance his 2009 sentence.

This Court may entertain a petition for a writ of habeas corpus “in behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the ground that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States.” 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a); Rose v. Hodges, 423 U.S. 19, 21 (1975).

A district court shall “award the writ or issue an order directing the respondent to show cause why the writ should not be granted, unless it appears from the application that the applicant or person detained is not entitled thereto.” 28 U.S.C. § 2243. Summary dismissal is appropriate only where the allegations in the petition are vague or conclusory, palpably incredible, or patently frivolous or false. Hendricks v. Vasquez, 908 F.2d 490, 491 (9th Cir.


1 1990) (quoting Blackledge v. Allison, 431 U.S. 63, 75-76 (1977)).

2 The Court has reviewed the petition and finds good cause to proceed. Accordingly,

- 3 1. The Clerk of the Court shall serve by certified mail a copy of this Order
4 and the petition and all attachments thereto upon the Respondents and
5 the Respondents' counsel, the Attorney General of the State of
6 California. The Clerk shall also serve a copy of this Order on the
7 Petitioner.
- 8 2. Respondents shall file with this Court and serve upon the Petitioner,
9 within sixty (60) days of the issuance of this Order, an answer
10 conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section
11 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be
12 issued. Respondent shall file with the answer a copy of all portions of
13 the state trial and appellate record that have been transcribed previously
14 and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the
15 petition.
- 16 3. If the Petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing
17 a traverse with the court and serving it upon the Respondents within
18 thirty (30) days of his receipt of the answer.

19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20
21
22
23 Dated: November 26, 2012



CHARLES R. BREYER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE