

1 TED C. LINDQUIST, III (SBN 178523)  
 LINDQUISTLAW  
 2 100 Pine Street, Suite 2150  
 San Francisco, California 94111  
 3 Telephone: 415-399-1159  
 Facsimile: 866-255-4580  
 4 Email: tlindquist@lindquistlaw.com

5 MICHAEL RUBIN (SBN 80618)  
 EILEEN B. GOLDSMITH (SBN 218029)  
 6 ALTSHULER BERZON LLP  
 177 Post Street, Suite 300  
 7 San Francisco, California 94108  
 Telephone: 415-421-7151  
 8 Facsimile: 415-362-8064  
 Email: mrubin@altber.com  
 9 Email: egoldsmith@altber.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
 ALANA KASELITZ and MELISSA KASELITZ  
 11  
 12

13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 14 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
 15 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**  
 16

17 ALANA KASELITZ, an individual; and  
 MELISSA KASELITZ, an individual,  
 18

19 Plaintiffs,  
 20

21 v.

22  
 23 HISOFT TECHNOLOGY  
 INTERNATIONAL , LTD., et al.,  
 24

25 Defendants.  
 26  
 27  
 28

Case No. 12-cv-5760-MMC

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
 ORDER REGARDING EXTENSION OF  
 TIME TO FILE REPLY BRIEFS IN  
 SUPPORT OF CROSS-MOTIONS TO  
 VACATE IN PART AND TO CONFIRM  
 ARBITRATION AWARD**

Arbitration Hearing: July 20, 2015  
 Final Award issued: October 23, 2015

Application to Correct Submitted to Arbitrator:  
 November 2, 2015  
 Final Disposition on Application to Correct  
 Issued: November 30, 2015

1 WHEREAS pursuant to a briefing schedule agreed to by the parties, Plaintiffs filed a  
2 Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award in Part on January 8, 2016, and Defendant hiSoft Technology  
3 International, Ltd., simultaneously filed a Motion to Confirm the Arbitration Award, and the  
4 parties have noticed their respective cross-motions for hearing on February 26, 2016 at 9:00 a.m.;

5 WHEREAS the parties have agreed to file their respective oppositions to the cross-motions  
6 on January 22, 2016, in accordance with Local Rule 7-3;

7 WHEREAS under Local Rule 7-3, the parties' reply briefs in support of their respective  
8 motions would be due on January 29, 2016;

9 WHEREAS due to the unavailability of Plaintiffs' attorneys Michael Rubin and Eileen  
10 Goldsmith on January 29, 2016, and because those attorneys have primary responsibility for  
11 drafting Plaintiffs' reply brief, the parties have agreed that their respective reply briefs shall be due  
12 on February 3, 2016;

13 WHEREAS the agreed-upon due date for reply briefs is 23 days before the parties have  
14 noticed their cross-motions for hearing,

15 The parties hereby stipulate as follows:

- 16 1. The deadline for the parties to file their respective reply briefs shall be February 3, 2016.

17 Dated: January 14, 2016

ALTSCHULER BERZON LLP

19 By: /s/ Eileen B. Goldsmith

Eileen B. Goldsmith

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Melissa and Alana Kaselitz

22 Dated: January 14, 2016

COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP

24 By: /s/ Joyce Chang

Joyce Chang

Attorneys for Defendant hiSoft Technology  
International, Ltd.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ECF CERTIFICATION**

Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), the filing attorney attests that she has obtained concurrence regarding the filing of this document from the signatories to the document.

Dated: January 14, 2016

/s/ Eileen Goldsmith  
Eileen Goldsmith

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Melissa and Alana Kaselitz

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 15, 2016

  
HON. MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
United States District Judge