

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES BRANDLE, REBECCA GREGG, and
DIANA GUTHRIE

No. C 12-05970 WHA

Plaintiffs,

**ORDER DENYING MOTION
FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF**

v.


MCKESSON CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

Defendant Covidien Inc. has filed an administrative motion seeking to purge the record of the denial of Attorney Bryan Pratt’s first motion for *pro hac vice* (Dkt. No. 47). That order denied Attorney Pratt’s original *pro hac vice* application because he failed to specify the bar to which he was a member in good standing — he only identified “Missouri” — in violation of Local Rule 11-3. Attorney Pratt’s subsequent and correctly filed *pro hac vice* application was granted. Now, Attorney Pratt seeks to excise the order denying his original application from the record because he will have to identify any *pro hac vice* applications that have been denied on future applications. Attorney Pratt should have followed the rules the first time around. Motion **DENIED.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 17, 2015.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE